

CALIFORNIA ELECTRONIC INTERCEPTIONS REPORT



Annual Report
2022

CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

ROB BONTA
Attorney General



Division of Criminal Law

Appeals, Writs & Trials Section

This annual report is available on the
California Attorney General's website:
<http://oag.ca.gov>

Table of Contents

Executive Summary	1
Table 1: Arrests and Convictions Resulting from Electronic Interceptions During Calendar Year 2022.....	6
Table 2: General Description of Electronic Interceptions During Calendar Year 2022	7
Table 3: Electronic Interception Court Activity During Calendar Year 2022.....	8
Table 4: Description of Communications Obtained and Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions During Calendar Year 2022	9
Table 5: Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report.....	51
Table 6: Costs of Electronic Interceptions During Calendar Year 2022	74
Table 7: Jurisdiction Reporting No Electronic Interception Activity During Calendar Year 2022.....	101
Table 8: Summary of Supplemental Reports for Interceptions Conducted in Prior Years.....	102
Table 9: List of Electronic Interception Orders Approved But Never Installed or Not Used Calendar Year 2022... ..	104
Table 10: Use of Wiretap Evidence in Administrative/Disciplinary Hearings	105
Appendix A: Penal Code section 629.62 “Report by Attorney General”	106
Appendix B: Penal Code sections 629.78 & 629.82	107

Executive Summary

The 2022 Attorney General's Electronic Interceptions Report is issued in compliance with Penal Code sections 629.62 and 629.82, subdivision (d), and is available on the Attorney General's website at: <https://oag.ca.gov>. To facilitate the preparation of this report, Penal Code section 629.61 requires each county throughout the state to annually provide the Attorney General with certain information regarding its electronic interception activity. This report reflects the information submitted by each county for the 2022 calendar year.

Electronic interceptions are the interceptions of wire or electronic communications via wire, cable, radio, and other systems. These interceptions include, but are not limited to, phone conversations over cellular phones or landlines and text messages exchanged via cellular telephones. In California, court-authorized interceptions of wire or electronic communications are used to target specific criminal offenses when conventional investigative techniques are inadequate or simply too dangerous to pursue. As such, the use of electronic interceptions constitutes an essential tool in law enforcement agencies' efforts to detect and deter crime. Dangerous individuals and criminal entities, such as drug trafficking organizations (DTOs) and criminal street gangs, frequently rely on telecommunications to advance their criminal objectives. Accordingly, electronic interceptions are critical in identifying, disrupting, and preventing crimes.

The ability to intercept electronic communications is a vital tool. In some cases, electronic interceptions have helped uncover criminal conspiracies to commit murder. In other cases, they have led to the seizure of vast quantities of narcotics, firearms, and criminally-derived proceeds that facilitate ongoing criminal activity. Some electronic interceptions have even rescued victims from human trafficking networks. Discoveries and seizures like these save lives, remove harmful elements from our communities, and advance public safety.

This report contains electronic interception data and details about how authorized electronic interceptions enabled state and local law enforcement agencies¹ to combat crime effectively at various levels.

Overview


In 2022, California judges approved 468 orders authorizing electronic interceptions out of 468 applications submitted. In 2021, 416 orders were approved out of 417 applications submitted. The 468 orders approving electronic interception in 2022 marks a roughly 13% increase from 2021.

Wiretap orders were issued in 19 of California's 58 counties, compared to 15 counties in 2021. The greatest numbers of orders authorizing electronic interceptions were issued in Riverside, Los Angeles, and Kern Counties, with 200, 173, and 18 orders, respectively. In 2021, Los Angeles,

¹ In some wire operations, there is coordination with federal law enforcement. But purely federal electronic interceptions, sought by federal prosecutorial agencies and approved by federal judges, are not encompassed within this report.

Riverside, and Fresno Counties made up the three counties with the greatest number of orders, with 199, 119, and 21 orders, respectively.

Figure 1 shows the total number of orders authorizing interceptions each year over the ten-year period ending in 2022:




Criminal Offenses, Arrests & Convictions

Law enforcement agencies targeted various individual and combinations of offenses in the 468 applications for interception authority submitted to California courts in 2022 (Table 2). Applications most frequently targeted narcotics offenses, which accounted for 388 applications, or approximately 82.9% of applications. Murder was a targeted offense in 59 applications, or approximately 12.6% of applications. Gang offenses represented a targeted offense in 12 applications, or approximately 2.6% of applications. Human trafficking was a targeted offense in 9 applications, or in roughly 1.9% of applications.

Authorized interceptions in 2022 resulted in 250 total arrests (Table 1). These arrests were predominantly for murder (151), narcotics offenses (89), and gang-related offenses (6). Several arrests were for other offenses, including human trafficking (6). Each interception operation can result in the arrest of multiple people for multiple, overlapping offenses. Over the ten-year period ending in 2022, investigations involving electronic interceptions resulted in an average of approximately 611 arrests per year.

Figure 2. Total Orders & Arrests, 2013-2022



Due to the nature of the judicial process, whether through trials or guilty pleas in the superior courts or some other disposition, the bulk of the yearly arrests are not resolved by the time counties submit data for the annual report. Updated information on interception data reported in prior years can be found in Table 8 of this report.

Types, Lengths & Number of Interceptions

Tables 2 and 3 of this report show various data related to the nature of the electronic interceptions conducted. These data reflect the types of devices and locations targeted, the number of original interception orders and extensions, and information about the duration of such operations.

Interceptions overwhelmingly targeted portable devices such as cellular phones. In 2022, cell phones accounted for approximately 92% of the total devices targeted. Similarly, in 2021, cell phones made up 91% of the total devices targeted.

Regarding duration, California law limits the period of authorized interception in an original order to 30 days. This period may, however, be lengthened by one or more 30-day extensions if the authorizing judge determines that an extended period of interception is justified.

Similar to 2021, in 2022 the average length of the approved extension of the initial period of interception was 30 days. Judges granted 370 extensions in 2022. The average duration of actual interception activity per order authorizing such activity, including extensions, was approximately 49 days.

Additional details about each authorized interception operation are provided in Tables 4 and 5 of this report. Table 4 provides reported information about the number of persons whose communications were intercepted, the total number of communications intercepted, the breakdown between incriminating and other communications, and narrative comments on the reported usefulness of the operations. Table 5 provides information about the statutorily mandated notice provided to persons whose communications were intercepted.


Costs of Interceptions

Table 6 of this report sets forth information about personnel and resource expenses that state and local agencies reported having incurred in conducting authorized interception operations. These data, however, specifically exclude costs otherwise covered by regularly incurred compensation of personnel, as well as personnel and resource costs covered by federal funding.

The reported personnel and resource costs totaled \$17,792,861, an approximate 15% increase from the total costs of \$15,421,143 reported in 2021. The average reported cost of an interception operation was \$38,019 (rounded), an approximate 3% increase from the average cost of \$37,070 (rounded) in 2021.

Figure 3 shows the total costs associated with interceptions operations, as reported, for the ten-year period ending in 2022:

Figure 3. Total Reported Costs, 2013-2022



Wiretap Evidence in Administrative or Disciplinary Hearings

Finally, Penal Code section 629.82, subdivision (d), permits, and requires reporting of, the use of the contents of intercepted communications in an administrative or disciplinary hearing involving the employment of a peace officer.

As described in Table 10, no such usage was reported for 2022.

* * *

If you have any questions or comments about this report, please contact the Criminal Law Division of the Attorney General's Office at (916) 445-9555.

Table 1
Arrests and Convictions Resulting from Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2022²

Reporting Jurisdiction	No. of Applications	Number of Persons Arrested		Arrest Offenses						Conviction Offenses						Number of Persons Convicted	
		Total	Avg. per Order	Murder	Gang	Narcotics	Terrorism	Kidnapping	Other	Murder	Gang	Narcotics	Terrorism	Kidnapping	Other	Total	Avg. per Order
Alameda	3	2	0.7	2												0	
Contra Costa	1	2	2.0	2												0	
Fresno	14	46	3.3	46							12					12	0.9
Kern	18	14	0.8	3		8			6			1				1	0.1
Los Angeles	173	22	0.1	3		19										0	
Madera	7	28	4.0			28										0	
Orange	2	4	2.0	4												0	
Placer	1	0														0	
Riverside	200	18	0.1	0		17				0						0	
San Bernardino	6	9	1.5			9										0	
San Diego	5	5	1.0			5										0	
San Joaquin	11	88	8.0	88												0	
San Luis Obispo	2	0														0	
San Mateo	3	2	0.7	2												0	
Santa Clara	3	0														0	
Stanislaus	3	0														0	
Tulare	7	0														0	
Ventura	8	9	1.1		6	3						1			1	2	0.3
Yolo	1	1	1.0	1												0	
Total:	468	250		151	6	89	0	0	6	0	12	2	0	0	1	15	

² Any disparity between “Number of Persons Arrested” and “Number of Persons Convicted” may be attributed to the fact that a number of those arrested are awaiting prosecution—a process which can take a significant amount of time—or that the intercept of part of a larger-scale ongoing investigation.

Table 2
General Description of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2022

Reporting Jurisdiction	No. of Applications	Targeted Offenses (Offenses Specified in Order)						Targeted Location					Targeted Device				
		Murder	Gang	Narcotics	Terrorism	Kidnapping	Other	Residence	Business	Public Area	Portable device	Other	Cell Phone	Land Line	Pager	Computer	Other
Alameda	3	3						1			3		3	1			1
Contra Costa	1	1									1		1				1
Fresno	14	14									14		14			14	
Kern	18	2		10			6	4			18	3	15				3
Los Angeles	173	14		159							173		173				
Madera	7			7							7		7				
Orange	2	2									2		2				
Placer	1	1									1		1				1
Riverside	200	4	1	195				3			200		200				1
San Bernardino	6	1	3	2							6		6				
San Diego	5			5							5		5				1
San Joaquin	11	9	2								11		11				11
San Luis Obispo	2		1	1							2		2				1
San Mateo	3	3									2	1	2				1
Santa Clara	3	3									2	2	2				2
Stanislaus	3	1		2				3			3	3	3				
Tulare	7			4			3				7		7			3	
Ventura	8		5	3							7	2	8				2
Yolo	1	1									1		1				

Table 3
Electronic Interception Orders Issued by Judges
And Court Activity During Calendar Year 2022³

Reporting Jurisdiction	Number of Intercept Orders					Number of Extensions						Total Duration of Wiretaps			Motions to Suppress			Number of Trials Resulting from Intercepts
	Applications	Granted	Modified/Amended	Denied	Not Installed/Installed Not Used	Applications	Granted	Modified/Amended	Denied	Not Installed/Installed Not Used	Average Length	Requested Days	Actual Days	Avg. per Order	Granted	Denied	Pending	
Alameda	3	3	1			1	1				30	119	88	29				
Contra Costa	1	1										30	29	29				
Fresno	14	14				7	7				30	630	429	31				32
Kern	18	18				4	4				30	660	404	22				2
Los Angeles	173	173			1	149	149			1	30	9660	9452	55				
Madera	7	7				2	2				30	270	176	25				
Orange	2	2	1									60	57	29				
Placer	1	1										30	9	9				
Riverside	200	200	1			201	201				30	12000	10974	55				
San Bernardino	6	6										180	167	28				
San Diego	5	5	1			1	1				30	180	110	22				
San Joaquin	11	11	1			4	4				30	450	347	32				
San Luis Obispo	2	2				1	1				30	90	86	43				
San Mateo	3	3										90	42	14				
Santa Clara	3	3	1		1					1		90	53	27				
Stanislaus	3	3	3									90	58	19				
Tulare	7	7										150	98	14				
Ventura	8	8	8									240	234	29				7
Yolo	1	1										30	10	10				1

³ While electronic interceptions often result in evidence leading to prosecution, they may also lead to further investigations and the prevention of crime at the state and federal levels.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2022

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No. ⁴	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ⁵
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Alameda	2022-ALA-1	825	7,308	2	98	Investigators from the California Highway Patrol and Department of Justice collaborated with the District Attorney's Office to investigate two rival gangs' participation and responsibility for the murder of a young child who was killed during a gun battle between the gangs. Because of the investigation and operation, investigators successfully identified the parties involved. The investigation is ongoing to determine the extent of their involvement and responsibility.
	2022-ALA-2	648	8,619	9	91	Investigation uncovered a conspiracy to commit murder for financial gain.
	2022-ALA-3	7	196	6	94	See 2022-ALA-2
Contra Costa	2022-CC-1	271	9,484	5	95	The actual suspect was charged with murder but was killed during service of the arrest warrant when he attacked officers with a weapon. The suspect's mother was arrested for being an accessory after the fact but was ultimately not charged.
Fresno	2022-FR-22	1,492	114,571	3	97	This operation targeted the most violent network of criminal street gangs. During the wiretap, investigators prevented a planned mass shooting at a bar, solved six murders, multiple additional attempted murders and shootings, and uncovered collusion between gang members and publicly funded community groups. Wiretap operation also enabled investigators to break up a substantial human trafficking ring involving over 15 individuals and dozens of victims. As a result of the wiretap, murders and shootings in the city have both been down significantly compared to 2021.
	2022-FR-23	82	1,102	7	93	See 2022-FR-22
	2022-FR-24	1,341	46,068	10	90	See 2022-FR-22
	2022-FR-25	255	9,334	3	97	See 2022-FR-22
	2022-FR-26	276	13,274	3	97	See 2022-FR-22
	2022-FR-27	138	1,749	8	92	See 2022-FR-22
	2022-FR-28	200	10,264	5	95	See 2022-FR-22

⁴ EICOS is an acronym for Electronic Intercept Court Ordered System and is the number specific to the wire order.

⁵ Comments are not mandated and may be edited.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2022

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No. ⁴	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ⁵
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Fresno (cont'd)	2022-FR-29	721	14,297	19	81	See 2022-FR-22
	2022-FR-30	200	20,950	4	96	See 2022-FR-22
	2022-FR-31	9	566	0	100	See 2022-FR-22
	2022-FR-32	188	47,703	2	98	See 2022-FR-22
	2022-FR-33	50	2,280	4	96	See 2022-FR-22
	2022-FR-34	101	4,696	10	90	This wiretap operation identified the perpetrators of the brutal slaying of a mother and her infant daughter.
	2022-FR-35	21	2,124	15	85	See 2022-FR-34
Kern	2022-KE-1	90	11,140	20	80	The interceptions provided investigators with valuable information regarding the targeted suspects and led to additional charges at the time of their arrest. This wiretap assisted law enforcement officers in rescuing approximately 10 victims of human trafficking.
	2022-KE-2	90	11,140	20	80	The interceptions from this wiretap provided investigators with further information regarding the targeted suspects. The information led to additional charges at the time of their arrest. This wiretap also assisted law enforcement in the recovery of several victims of human trafficking.
	2022-KE-3	90	11,140	20	80	The interceptions provided investigators with further information regarding the targeted suspects. The information led to additional charges at the time of their arrest. This investigation also assisted with the recovery of several human trafficking victims.
	2022-KE-4	90	11,140	20	80	The interceptions provided investigators with valuable information regarding the targeted suspects and led to additional charges at the time of their arrest. This wiretap assisted law enforcement officers in rescuing several victims of human trafficking.
	2022-KE-5	90	11,140	20	80	The interceptions provided investigators with valuable information regarding the targeted suspects and led to additional charges at the time of their arrest. This investigation also assisted with the rescue of nearly 10 victims of human trafficking.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2022

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No. ⁴	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ⁵
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Kern (cont'd)	2022-KE-6	90	11,140	20	80	The interceptions provided investigators with further information regarding the targeted suspects. The information led to additional charges at the time of their arrest. This investigation also assisted with the recovery of several victims of human trafficking.
	2022-KE-7	193	7,239	39	61	Because of this operation, law enforcement personnel arrested several individuals and seized approximately 8 pounds of crystal methamphetamine, 103,000 M30 fentanyl pills, 133 grams of powdered fentanyl, 30 grams of cocaine, 11 counterfeit Xanax bars, 17 firearms, and approximately \$33,487 in U.S. currency. In addition, law enforcement counterparts in another state seized approximately 2.26 kilograms of cocaine, 2 ounces of crack cocaine, 77,000 M30 fentanyl pills, 1.2 pounds of crystal methamphetamine, 9 pounds of marijuana, 3 vehicles, 4 firearms, 1 drug press, and approximately \$42,000 in U.S. currency.
	2022-KE-8	14	103	16	84	The interceptions provided investigators with further information regarding the targeted suspects. The interceptions assisted in developing probable cause for the target subjects related to a murder investigation.
	2022-KE-9	36	85	34	66	The interceptions provided investigators with further information regarding the targeted suspects. The interceptions assisted in developing probable cause for the target subjects related to a murder investigation.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2022

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No. ⁴	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ⁵
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Kern (cont'd)	2022-KE-10	193	7,239	39	61	As a result of this operation, law enforcement personnel arrested several individuals and seized approximately 8 pounds of crystal methamphetamine, 103,000 M30 fentanyl pills, 133 grams of powdered fentanyl, 30 grams of cocaine, 11 counterfeit Xanax bars, 17 firearms, and approximately \$33,487 in U.S. currency. In addition, law enforcement personnel in another state seized approximately 2.26 kilograms of cocaine, 2 ounces of crack cocaine, 77,000 M30 fentanyl pills, 1.2 pounds of crystal methamphetamine, 9 pounds of marijuana, 3 vehicles, 4 firearms, 1 drug press, and approximately \$42,000 in U.S. currency.
	2022-KE-11	41	880	27	73	This wiretap resulted in the arrest of several individuals and the seizure of approximately 8 pounds of crystal methamphetamine, 103,000 M30 fentanyl pills, 133 grams of powdered fentanyl, 30 grams of cocaine, 11 counterfeit Xanax bars, 17 firearms, and approximately \$33,487 in U.S. currency. In addition, local law enforcement counterparts in another state seized approximately 2.26 kilograms of cocaine, 2 ounces of crack cocaine, 77,000 M30 fentanyl pills, 1.2 pounds of crystal methamphetamine, 9 pounds of marijuana, 3 vehicles, 4 firearms, 1 drug press, and approximately \$42,000 in U.S. currency.
	2022-KE-12	80	1,897	23	77	This wiretap led to the arrest of several individuals and the seizure of approximately 8 pounds of crystal methamphetamine, 103,000 M30 fentanyl pills, 133 grams of powdered fentanyl, 30 grams of cocaine, 11 counterfeit Xanax bars, 17 firearms, and approximately \$33,487 in U.S. currency. In addition, law enforcement counterparts in another state seized approximately 2.26 kilograms of cocaine, 2 ounces of crack cocaine, 77,000 M30 fentanyl pills, 1.2 pounds of crystal methamphetamine, 9 pounds of marijuana, 3 vehicles, 4 firearms, 1 drug press, and approximately \$42,000 in U.S. currency.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2022

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No. ⁴	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ⁵
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Kern (cont'd)	2022-KE-13	5,249	9,408	57	43	This wiretap resulted in the seizure of .081 kilograms of cocaine, .0217 kilograms of heroin, 4.454 kilograms of fentanyl, 22 kilograms of marijuana, 19.242 kilograms of methamphetamine, .57 kilograms of psilocybin, 51 firearms, 7 firearm silencers, and \$67,298.25 in U.S. currency.
	2022-KE-14	5,249	9,408	57	43	This wiretap resulted in the seizure of .081 kilograms of cocaine, .0217 kilograms of heroin, 4.454 kilograms of fentanyl, 22 kilograms of marijuana, 19.242 kilograms of methamphetamine, .57 kilograms of psilocybin, 51 firearms, 7 firearm silencers, and \$67,298.25 in U.S. currency.
	2022-KE-15	12	2,596	91	9	The investigation led to law enforcement requesting search warrants for two residences. The search warrant was ultimately executed at both locations. Law enforcement personnel seized 30 firearms, 2 pounds of fentanyl, 1 pound of "rainbow" fentanyl, over 300 grams of M30 fentanyl pills, 1 pound of psilocybin "shrooms," over 500 grams of counterfeit medications, over 200 grams of Xanax, over 2 grams of "China white" heroin, and approximately 4 grams of suspected methamphetamine. 2 of the handguns were equipped with full auto sear switches, which make a semi-automatic handgun into a fully automatic weapon. One of the targets also used the postal system to ship narcotics out of state. The shipment of narcotics was later intercepted in another state.
	2022-KE-16	4,932	8,077	48	52	This wiretap resulted in the seizure of .081 kilograms of cocaine, .0217 kilograms of heroin, 4.454 kilograms of fentanyl, 22 kilograms of marijuana, 19.242 kilograms of methamphetamine, .57 kilograms of psilocybin, 51 firearms, 7 firearm silencers, and \$67,298.25 in U.S. currency.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2022

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No. ⁴	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ⁵
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Kern (cont'd)	2022-KE-17	4,932	8,077	48	52	This wiretap resulted in the seizure of .081 kilograms of cocaine, .0217 kilograms of heroin, 4.454 kilograms of fentanyl, 22 kilograms of marijuana, 19.242 kilograms of methamphetamine, .57 kilograms of psilocybin, 51 firearms, 7 firearm silencers, and \$67,298.25 in U.S. currency.
	2022-KE-18	9	3,191	6	94	Phone calls were intercepted regarding the target subject mailing methamphetamine to a prison inmate. Prison employees advised they were able to intercept mail containing two cards that tested positive for methamphetamine. The estimated weight of methamphetamine was 6 grams.
Los Angeles	2022-LA-1	118	1,355	22	78	During the interception period, agents arrested two individuals associated with this DTO and seized approximately 55 kilograms of cocaine, 2 pounds of methamphetamine, 2 handguns, 5 rifles, and \$25,000 in narcotics proceeds.
	2022-LA-2	299	7,914	12	88	During the interception period, agents could not seize any narcotics or narcotics proceeds, but agents learned about the inner workings of this DTO and identified additional DTO members.
	2022-LA-3	445	3,677	19	81	Agents learned about the inner workings of this DTO, which will allow agents to continue investigating these DTO members.
	2022-LA-4	43	737	28	72	Agents learned that this target is coordinating large shipments of cocaine. Agents will continue to investigate other DTO members to further this investigation.
	2022-LA-5	318	5,786	11	89	During the interception period, agents could not seize any narcotics or narcotics proceeds.
	2022-LA-6	16	196	30	70	During the interception period, agents were unable to identify additional members of this DTO, and there were no seizures related to this wiretap.
	2022-LA-7	45	1,020	11	89	As a result of this wiretap, agents learned the location of the target subject's stash house.
	2022-LA-8	42	723	16	84	During the interception period, agents could not seize any narcotics or narcotics proceeds.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2022

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No. ⁴	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ⁵
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2022-LA-9	205	3,674	11	89	During the interception period, agents did not seize any narcotics or narcotics proceeds.
	2022-LA-10	193	1,777	34	66	Interception of the target telephones from these wiretaps led to the seizure of approximately 16 kilograms of cocaine.
	2022-LA-11	215	5,306	46	54	The target telephones were discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2022-LA-12	302	8,236	31	69	The target telephones were terminated prior to any seizures.
	2022-LA-13	109	1,428	35	65	The target telephones were terminated prior to any seizures.
	2022-LA-14	178	2,655	45	55	Agents seized approximately \$550,000 in narcotics proceeds.
	2022-LA-15	333	9,914	20	80	Agents seized approximately 4 kilograms of cocaine.
	2022-LA-16	113	2,123	5	95	During the interception period, agents could not seize any narcotics or narcotics proceeds.
	2022-LA-17	175	2,256	56	44	The target telephones were terminated prior to any seizures.
	2022-LA-18	0	0	0	0	The target subject stopped using the target telephone after the wiretap order was signed, so no communications were intercepted and no seizures were made. One inventory letter was sent to the target subject named in the affidavit.
	2022-LA-19	0	0	0	0	The target subject stopped using the target telephone after the wiretap order was signed but prior to any seizures. As a result, no incriminating conversations or persons were intercepted during the wiretap period.
	2022-LA-20	38	755	58	42	Interception of this wiretap led to the seizure of approximately 433 pounds of methamphetamine, 47 kilograms of cocaine, 50,000 M30 oxycodone pills, and \$210,000 of U.S. currency.
	2022-LA-21	85	976	74	26	This wiretap investigation led to the seizure of approximately \$530,000 of narcotic proceeds, 27 kilograms of cocaine, and approximately 15,000 fentanyl pills.
	2022-LA-22	94	679	8	92	The target telephones were terminated prior to any seizures.
	2022-LA-23	13	84	19	81	The target telephone was terminated prior to any seizures.
	2022-LA-24	107	1,741	54	46	Agents seized approximately 704 pounds of methamphetamine and 80 kilograms of cocaine.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2022

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No. ⁴	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ⁵
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2022-LA-25	234	2,726	14	86	During the interception period, agents were not able to seize any narcotics or narcotics proceeds. Agents will continue to investigate other DTO members to further this investigation. However, agents did learn additional information related this DTO, which in turn led to seizures from other wiretaps.
	2022-LA-26	233	7,245	6	94	During the interception period, agents learned that one of the target subjects was arrested by a LA HIDTA group with over 400 pounds of methamphetamine.
	2022-LA-27	363	9,260	13	87	This wiretap led to the arrest of a narcotics courier with 115 pounds of methamphetamine.
	2022-LA-28	32	974	30	70	During the interception period, agents were not able to seize any narcotics or narcotics proceeds. Agents will continue to investigate other DTO members to further this investigation. Agents learned additional information related this DTO, which led to seizures from other wiretaps.
	2022-LA-29	41	597	42	58	During the interception period, agents were not able to seize any narcotics or narcotics proceeds. Agents will continue to investigate other DTO members to further this investigation. Agents learned additional information related this DTO, which led to seizures from other wiretaps.
	2022-LA-30	94	679	8	92	The target telephone was terminated prior to any seizures.
	2022-LA-31	28	192	15	85	During the interception period, agents were not able to seize any narcotics or narcotics proceeds. Agents, however, did learn additional information related this DTO, which led to seizures from other wiretaps. Agents will continue to investigate other DTO members to further this investigation.
	2022-LA-32	42	1,033	41	59	Interceptions resulted in agents arresting a subject with 121 pounds of methamphetamine meant to be delivered to the target subject.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2022

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No. ⁴	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ⁵
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2022-LA-33	417	14,047	3	97	During the interception period, agents were not able to seize any narcotics or narcotics proceeds. Agents will continue to investigate other DTO members to further this investigation. Agents learned additional information related this DTO, which led to seizures from other wiretaps.
	2022-LA-35	34	290	91	9	The target telephones were terminated prior to any seizures.
	2022-LA-36	96	1,312	68	32	This wiretap led to the seizure of approximately 26 kilograms of cocaine and 27 pounds of methamphetamine.
	2022-LA-37	172	2,011	29	71	The target telephone was terminated prior to any seizures.
	2022-LA-38	141	2,138	70	30	This wiretap led to the seizure of approximately 23 kilograms of cocaine.
	2022-LA-39	72	423	27	73	This wiretap led to the seizure of approximately 26 kilograms of cocaine and 27 pounds of methamphetamine.
	2022-LA-40	65	1,471	85	15	This wiretap led to the seizure of approximately 114 kilograms of cocaine.
	2022-LA-41	181	2,506	7	93	The target telephones were discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2022-LA-42	359	2,641	7	93	The target telephone was terminated prior to any seizures.
	2022-LA-43	121	2,901	70	30	This wiretap led to the seizure of approximately \$265,000 in narcotics proceeds.
	2022-LA-44	0	0	0	0	The target subject stopped using the target telephone after the wiretap order was signed and prior to any seizures. No persons or communications were intercepted during the wiretap period.
	2022-LA-45	31	293	49	51	The target telephones were terminated prior to any seizures.
	2022-LA-46	140	3,080	24	76	This wiretap led to the seizure of approximately 35 kilograms of cocaine.
	2022-LA-47	128	1,339	40	60	This wiretap led to the seizure of approximately \$740,000 of narcotics proceeds.
	2022-LA-48	31	467	61	39	The target telephones were terminated prior to any seizures.
	2022-LA-49	0	11	0	100	The target telephones were terminated prior to any seizures.
	2022-LA-50	186	2,124	20	80	The target telephones were terminated prior to any seizures.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2022

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No. ⁴	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ⁵
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2022-LA-51	50	784	83	17	This wiretap led to the seizure of approximately 35 kilograms of cocaine.
	2022-LA-52	56	1,290	75	25	The target telephones were discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2022-LA-53	380	10,630	26	74	Investigators learned the target subject was trafficking methamphetamine, heroin, and fentanyl. Thus far, interception has led to the seizure of multiple pounds of methamphetamine, large amounts of heroin and fentanyl, and firearms.
	2022-LA-54	196	5,282	22	78	No significant seizures of narcotics or currency were made as a result of this wiretap during the interception period.
	2022-LA-55	4	10	0	100	No significant seizures of narcotics or currency were made as a result of this wiretap during the interception period.
	2022-LA-56	72	1,409	9	91	No significant seizures of narcotics or currency were made as a result of this wiretap during the interception period.
	2022-LA-57	5	41	24	76	No significant seizures of narcotics or currency were made as a result of this wiretap during the interception period.
	2022-LA-58	23	193	26	74	No significant seizures of narcotics or currency were made as a result of this wiretap during the interception period.
	2022-LA-59	49	368	0	100	No significant seizures of narcotics or currency were made as a result of this wiretap during the interception period.
	2022-LA-60	12	44	43	57	No significant seizures of narcotics or currency were made as a result of this wiretap during the interception period. None of the telephones that were intercepted during the wiretap had subscriber information, names, or addresses. As a result, no inventory notices were sent.
	2022-LA-61	5	140	3	97	3 people were arrested as a result of this wiretap investigation. 3 kilograms of fentanyl and 2 kilograms of cocaine were also seized.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2022

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No. ⁴	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ⁵
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2022-LA-62	2	7,799	25	75	Throughout the course of this investigation, investigators identified additional target telephones and subjects involved in the DTO, along with the DTO's methods of operation. The interception of the target subject(s) has led to additional wiretaps involving the target subject(s). Investigators learned that the target subjects were trafficking in methamphetamine, fentanyl, and heroin. Interception led to the seizure of multiple pounds of methamphetamine and a firearm.
	2022-LA-63	61	3,497	10	90	During the interception period, agents were not able to seize any narcotics or narcotics proceeds, but agents learned additional information related to this DTO which resulted in seizures from other wiretaps. Agents will continue to investigate other DTO members to further this investigation.
	2022-LA-64	51	2,594	37	63	Investigators have learned the target subjects are trafficking heroin, fentanyl, cocaine, and methamphetamine throughout California and other neighboring states. Interception of the wiretaps in this investigation led to the seizure of multiple pounds of methamphetamine, fentanyl, heroin, several firearms, and narcotics proceeds.
	2022-LA-65	380	10,630	26	74	Investigators learned the target subject was trafficking methamphetamine, heroin, and fentanyl throughout various parts of California. Interception of this wiretap has led to 2 arrests, the seizure of multiple pounds of methamphetamine, large amounts of fentanyl and heroin, and firearms.
	2022-LA-66	110	5,623	86	14	Investigators have learned the target subjects are trafficking heroin, fentanyl, cocaine, and methamphetamine throughout various parts of California. Interception of the wiretaps in this investigation led to the seizure of multiple pounds of methamphetamine, fentanyl, and heroin, several firearms, and narcotics proceeds.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2022

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No. ⁴	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ⁵
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2022-LA-67	102	19,287	96	4	Investigators have learned the target subjects are trafficking heroin, fentanyl, cocaine, and methamphetamine throughout California and other neighboring states. Interception of the wiretaps in this investigation led to the seizure of multiple pounds of methamphetamine, fentanyl, heroin, several firearms, and narcotics proceeds.
	2022-LA-68	57	166	2	98	Investigators have learned the target subjects are trafficking heroin, fentanyl, cocaine, and methamphetamine throughout California and other neighboring states. Interception of the wiretaps in this investigation led to the seizure of multiple pounds of methamphetamine, fentanyl, heroin, several firearms, and narcotics proceeds.
	2022-LA-69	24	482	21	79	During the interception period, agents were not able to seize any narcotics or narcotics proceeds. But agents did learn additional information related this DTO, which led to seizures from other wiretaps. Agents will continue to investigate other DTO members to further this investigation.
	2022-LA-70	329	45,824	76	24	Investigators have learned the target subjects are trafficking heroin, fentanyl, cocaine, and methamphetamine in throughout various parts of California as well as other neighboring states. Interception of the wiretaps in this investigation led to the seizure of multiple pounds of methamphetamine, fentanyl, heroin, several firearms, and narcotics proceeds.
	2022-LA-71	2	10,744	23	77	The target subjects were trafficking methamphetamine, heroin, and fentanyl. Interception resulted in an arrest as well as the seizure of multiple pounds of methamphetamine and a firearm.
	2022-LA-72	202	8,934	27	73	Approximately \$163,000 of narcotics proceeds were seized as a result of this wiretap.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2022

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No. ⁴	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ⁵
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2022-LA-73	46	5,634	26	74	Investigators have learned the target subjects are trafficking heroin, fentanyl, cocaine, and methamphetamine throughout California as well as other neighboring states. Interception of the wiretaps in this investigation led to the seizure of multiple pounds of methamphetamine, fentanyl, heroin, several firearms, and narcotics proceeds.
	2022-LA-74	102	2,372	17	83	Interception of the target subject led to 1 arrest and the seizure of 236 pounds of methamphetamine.
	2022-LA-75	418	48,260	82	18	The target subjects are trafficking heroin, cocaine, and methamphetamine in various counties throughout California as well as other neighboring states. Interception has led to the seizure of multiple pounds of methamphetamine, fentanyl, heroin, several firearms, and narcotics proceeds.
	2022-LA-76	12	81	28	72	During the interception period, agents were not able to seize any narcotics or narcotics proceeds, though agents did learn additional information related this DTO, which led to seizures from other wiretaps.
	2022-LA-77	45	9,796	13	87	Wiretap resulted in the arrest of 2 individuals as well as the seizure of 169 pounds of methamphetamine and 7 kilograms of cocaine.
	2022-LA-78	825	51,258	83	17	The target subjects are trafficking heroin, fentanyl, cocaine, and methamphetamine throughout California and other neighboring states. Interception has led to the seizure of multiple pounds of methamphetamine, fentanyl, heroin, several firearms, and narcotics proceeds.
	2022-LA-79	276	8,725	7	93	This wiretap led to the seizure of approximately 11 kilograms of cocaine and approximately \$420,000 of narcotics proceeds.
	2022-LA-80	119	5,115	4	96	Interception of this wiretap led to the seizure of 121 pounds of methamphetamine from a narcotics associate of the target subject.
	2022-LA-81	59	3,445	2	98	During the interception period, agents were not able to seize any narcotics or narcotics proceeds. Agents will continue to investigate other DTO members to further this investigation.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2022

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No. ⁴	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ⁵
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2022-LA-82	130	4,525	9	91	This wiretap resulted in the arrest of 1 individual as well as the seizure of 7 kilograms of cocaine.
	2022-LA-83	0	0	0	0	Agents were unable to commence monitoring because the wiretap order was not transmitted to the telephone carrier in a timely fashion.
	2022-LA-84	205	6,272	9	91	During the interception period, agents were not able to seize any narcotics or narcotics proceeds; however, agents did learn additional information related to this DTO, which led to seizures from other wiretaps. Agents will continue to investigate other DTO members to further this investigation.
	2022-LA-85	38	4,398	84	16	Investigators have learned the target subjects are trafficking heroin, fentanyl, cocaine, and methamphetamine throughout various counties in California and other neighboring states. This wiretap led to the seizure of multiple pounds of methamphetamine, fentanyl, heroin, several firearms, and narcotics proceeds. The target subjects discontinued use of the target telephones before the 30-day interception order had expired.
	2022-LA-86	22	284	32	68	Interception of this wiretap led to the seizure of approximately 19 kilograms of cocaine.
	2022-LA-87	2	1,467	1	99	This investigation resulted in the identification of other persons and locations connected to the DTO.
	2022-LA-88	25	666	34	66	During the interception period, agents were not able to seize any narcotics or narcotic proceeds, but agents discovered additional information related to this DTO.
	2022-LA-89	41	1,916	16	84	During the interception period, agents were not able to seize any narcotics or narcotic proceeds. But this wiretap did help agents gather additional information related to this DTO, which led to seizures from other wiretaps.
	2022-LA-90	144	771	49	51	The target telephones were discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2022-LA-91	37	830	79	21	The target telephones were discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2022-LA-92	57	565	68	32	This wiretap resulted in the seizure of approximately \$70,000 in narcotics proceeds.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2022

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No. ⁴	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ⁵
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2022-LA-93	67	1,022	69	31	The target telephones were discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2022-LA-94	67	834	48	52	The target telephones were discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2022-LA-95	23	1,656	14	86	During the interception period, agents were not able to seize any narcotics or narcotic proceeds. Agents, however, did discover additional information related this DTO, which contributed to seizures from other wiretaps.
	2022-LA-96	43	546	61	39	Interception of this wiretap resulted in the seizure of approximately 48 kilograms of cocaine and \$100,000 of narcotics proceeds.
	2022-LA-97	45	3,188	18	82	During the interception, agents were not able to seize any narcotics or narcotic proceeds. Agents discovered additional information related this DTO, which led to seizures from other wiretaps.
	2022-LA-98	180	5,179	35	65	Interception from this wiretap led to the seizure of approximately \$213,000 of narcotics proceeds.
	2022-LA-99	4	21	0	100	During the interception, agents were not able to seize any narcotics or narcotic proceeds, but they did discover additional information related this DTO, which resulted in seizures from other wiretaps.
	2022-LA-100	84	1,919	75	25	Interception of the target telephones from these wiretaps led to the seizure of approximately 10 kilograms of cocaine and \$420,000 of narcotics proceeds.
	2022-LA-101	104	1,584	67	33	The target telephones were discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2022-LA-102	0	2	0	100	The target telephone was disconnected prior to any seizures. No incriminating conversations were intercepted during the interception period.
	2022-LA-103	116	21,145	0	100	The intercepts assisted investigators with getting a clearer timeline on what occurred on the day of a particular homicide under investigation. Investigators learned information that would have been nearly impossible to find out without the wiretap. 1 suspect was arrested as a result of this wiretap.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2022

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No. ⁴	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ⁵
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2022-LA-104	49	2,588	1	99	The intercepts assisted investigators with getting a clearer timeline on what occurred on the day of a particular homicide under investigation, and investigators learned information that would have been nearly impossible to find out without the wiretap. 1 suspect was arrested because of this wiretap.
	2022-LA-105	154	3,746	23	77	During the interception period, agents seized approximately 77 pounds of methamphetamine and 5 kilograms of cocaine. 1 person was arrested as a result of this wiretap.
	2022-LA-106	6,966	23,839	1	99	During the interception period, agents seized 29.5 pounds of methamphetamine and made 1 arrest.
	2022-LA-107	13,652	52,011	1	99	During the interception period, law enforcement seized 141 pounds of methamphetamine and 7 kilograms of cocaine. No arrests were made.
	2022-LA-108	454	4,916	2	98	Agents seized 144 pounds of marijuana, 5 pounds of cocaine, and 53 pounds of methamphetamine.
	2022-LA-109	43	656	2	98	No seizures were made as a direct result of this wiretap, but interception assisted agents in seizing narcotics from the target subjects of wiretap 2022-LA-108.
	2022-LA-110	0	89	0	100	Agents could not seize any narcotics or narcotic proceeds.
	2022-LA-111	0	0	0	0	No communications or persons were intercepted during the duration of the wiretap because the target subject obtained a new phone number by the time the wiretap order was signed by the judge. Agents believed the target subject would continue to use the phone number listed in the wiretap affidavit, but the target subject did not.
	2022-LA-112	30	454	1	99	During the interception period, agents were able to identify more members of this DTO as well as learn more details of their workings.
	2022-LA-113	32	1,257	48	52	During the interception period, agents were able to identify more members of this DTO, as well as learn more about the details of their workings. Agents have been able to locate sources of supply of methamphetamine, fentanyl, and cocaine in Los Angeles County.
	2022-LA-114	85	541	73	27	As a result of the wiretap investigation, agents seized approximately \$200,000 of narcotics proceeds.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2022

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No. ⁴	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ⁵
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2022-LA-115	192	1,613	24	76	As a result of this wiretap investigation, agents seized approximately \$250,000 of narcotics proceeds.
	2022-LA-116	37	296	81	19	This wiretap resulted in the seizure of approximately \$500,000 of narcotics proceeds.
	2022-LA-117	97	4,086	18	82	The target telephones were discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2022-LA-118	28	409	75	25	The target telephones were discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2022-LA-119	65	823	89	11	Investigators have learned the target subjects are trafficking heroin, fentanyl, cocaine, and methamphetamine in various counties throughout California as well as other neighboring states. Interception of the wiretaps in this investigation led to the seizure of multiple pounds of methamphetamine, fentanyl, heroin, several firearms, and narcotics proceeds.
	2022-LA-120	98	19,967	97	3	Investigators learned from this wiretap that the target subjects are trafficking heroin, fentanyl, cocaine, and methamphetamine in various counties throughout California as well as other neighboring states. Interception of the wiretaps in this investigation led to the seizure of multiple pounds of methamphetamine, fentanyl, heroin, several firearms, and narcotics proceeds.
	2022-LA-121	216	5,824	83	17	Investigators learned from this wiretap that the target subjects are trafficking heroin, fentanyl, cocaine, and methamphetamine in various counties throughout California as well as other neighboring states. Interception of the wiretaps in this investigation led to the seizure of multiple pounds of methamphetamine, fentanyl, heroin, several firearms, and narcotics proceeds.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2022

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No. ⁴	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ⁵
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2022-LA-122	825	51,258	83	17	Investigators learned from this wiretap that the target subjects are trafficking heroin, fentanyl, cocaine, and methamphetamine in various counties throughout California as well as other neighboring states. Interception of the wiretaps in this investigation led to the seizure of multiple pounds of methamphetamine, fentanyl, heroin, several firearms, and narcotics proceeds.
	2022-LA-123	723	47,821	90	10	Investigators learned from this wiretap that the target subjects are trafficking heroin, fentanyl, cocaine, and methamphetamine in various counties throughout California as well as other neighboring states. Interception of the wiretaps in this investigation led to the seizure of multiple pounds of methamphetamine, fentanyl, heroin, several firearms, and narcotics proceeds.
	2022-LA-124	614	47,153	88	12	Investigators learned from this wiretap that the target subjects are trafficking heroin, fentanyl, cocaine, and methamphetamine in various counties throughout California as well as other neighboring states. Interception of the wiretaps in this investigation led to the seizure of multiple pounds of methamphetamine, fentanyl, heroin, several firearms, and narcotics proceeds.
	2022-LA-125	65	28,353	92	8	Investigators learned from this wiretap that the target subjects are trafficking heroin, fentanyl, cocaine, and methamphetamine in various counties throughout California as well as other neighboring states. Interception of the wiretaps in this investigation led to the seizure of multiple pounds of methamphetamine, fentanyl, heroin, several firearms, and narcotics proceeds.
	2022-LA-126	315	54,289	92	8	Investigators learned from this wiretap that the target subjects are trafficking heroin, fentanyl, cocaine, and methamphetamine in various counties throughout California as well as other neighboring states. Interception of the wiretaps in this investigation led to the seizure of multiple pounds of methamphetamine, fentanyl, heroin, several firearms, and narcotics proceeds.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2022

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No. ⁴	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ⁵
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2022-LA-127	23	11,240	75	25	Investigators learned from this wiretap that the target subjects are trafficking heroin, fentanyl, cocaine, and methamphetamine in various counties throughout California as well as other neighboring states. Interception of the wiretaps in this investigation led to the seizure of multiple pounds of methamphetamine, fentanyl, heroin, several firearms, and narcotics proceeds.
	2022-LA-128	42	1,956	40	60	Agents were not able to seize any narcotics or narcotic proceeds as a result of this wiretap. The overall investigation of this DTO, however, has led to the seizure of 1,688 kilograms of methamphetamine, 156 kilograms of cocaine, 48 kilograms of heroin, 104 kilograms of powder fentanyl, 118,500 fentanyl pills, \$7,104,550 in suspected narcotics proceeds, 31 handguns, 1 sawed-off shotgun, and 11 assault rifles.
	2022-LA-129	240	15,544	13	87	Agents were not able to seize any narcotics or narcotic proceeds. Nevertheless, the overall investigation of this DTO resulted in the seizure of 1,751 kilograms of methamphetamine, 163 kilograms of cocaine, 48 kilograms of heroin, 104 kilograms of powder fentanyl, 118,500 fentanyl pills, \$7,119,550 in suspected narcotics proceeds, 31 handguns, 1 sawed-off shotgun, and 11 assault rifles.
	2022-LA-130	91	1,383	4	96	Agents were not able to seize any narcotics or narcotic proceeds.
	2022-LA-131	399	21,657	3	97	The target subjects were involved in a murder, and intercepts have been beneficial to this case. This is an ongoing investigation.
	2022-LA-132	2	1,270	0	100	Interceptions from the wiretap investigation led to the arrest of 2 murder suspects.
	2022-LA-133	345	9,836	1	99	The target subjects were involved in a murder, and intercepts from this wiretap investigation were beneficial to this case. The investigation is still ongoing.
	2022-LA-134	88	681	0	100	The interceptions in this case provided investigators with intelligence related to a murder investigation. However, no incriminating conversations were intercepted during the wiretap period.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2022

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No. ⁴	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ⁵
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2022-LA-135	34	520	0	100	The target subjects were involved in a murder. No incriminating conversations were intercepted during the wiretap period. The investigation remains ongoing.
	2022-LA-136	305	9,299	2	98	The target subjects were involved in a murder. The investigation is ongoing.
	2022-LA-137	904	10,949	1	99	The target subjects were involved in a murder. The investigation is still ongoing.
	2022-LA-138	196	1,685	0	100	Interceptions from this wiretap investigation provided investigators with intelligence related to a murder investigation.
	2022-LA-139	877	20,556	1	99	The target subjects were involved in a murder. Wiretap intercepts have been beneficial to this case. This is an ongoing investigation.
	2022-LA-140	177	868	6	94	The target subjects were involved in a murder. This is an ongoing investigation. Wiretap intercepts have been beneficial to this case.
	2022-LA-141	102	1,523	69	31	Intercepts from this wiretap led to the seizure of approximately \$243,000 of narcotics proceeds and 53 kilograms of cocaine.
	2022-LA-142	108	667	49	51	Interceptions from this wiretap contributed to the seizure of approximately 6 kilograms of cocaine.
	2022-LA-143	21	173	87	13	Interceptions from this wiretap resulted in the seizure of approximately \$744,000 of narcotics proceeds and 1 kilogram of cocaine.
	2022-LA-144	78	1,833	63	37	Interceptions from this wiretap led to the seizure of approximately \$220,000 of narcotics proceeds.
	2022-LA-145	182	1,593	1	99	The target subjects were involved in a murder. Interceptions from this wiretap have been beneficial to this case. This remains an ongoing investigation.
	2022-LA-146	42	620	54	46	Interceptions from this wiretap led to the seizure of approximately 51 kilograms of cocaine.
	2022-LA-147	172	3,999	32	68	The target telephones were discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2022-LA-148	10	541	75	25	Interceptions from this wiretap led to the seizure of approximately 129 kilograms of cocaine and 3,552 pounds of methamphetamine.
	2022-LA-149	182	1,366	0	100	The target subjects were involved in a murder. Interceptions from this wiretap have been beneficial to this case. This is still an ongoing investigation.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2022

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No. ⁴	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ⁵
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2022-LA-150	83	793	58	42	The target telephones were discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2022-LA-151	79	2,336	41	59	No narcotics or narcotics proceeds were intercepted.
	2022-LA-152	8	62	77	23	Interceptions from this wiretap led to the seizure of approximately \$900,000 in U.S. currency.
	2022-LA-153	725	14,239	99	1	Investigators learned from this wiretap that the target subjects are trafficking heroin, fentanyl, cocaine, and methamphetamine in various counties throughout California as well as other neighboring states. Interception of the wiretaps in this investigation led to the seizure of multiple pounds of methamphetamine, fentanyl, heroin, several firearms, and narcotics proceeds.
	2022-LA-154	23	11,240	75	25	Investigators learned from this wiretap that the target subjects are trafficking heroin, fentanyl, cocaine, and methamphetamine in various counties throughout California as well as other neighboring states. Interception of the wiretaps in this investigation led to the seizure of multiple pounds of methamphetamine, fentanyl, heroin, several firearms, and narcotics proceeds.
	2022-LA-155	28	13,138	69	21	Investigators learned from this wiretap that the target subjects are trafficking heroin, fentanyl, cocaine, and methamphetamine in various counties throughout California as well as other neighboring states. Interception of the wiretaps in this investigation led to the seizure of multiple pounds of methamphetamine, fentanyl, heroin, several firearms, and narcotics proceeds.
	2022-LA-156	20	306	2	98	Agents did not seize any narcotics or narcotics proceeds.
	2022-LA-157	13	134	15	85	No narcotics or narcotics proceeds were seized as a result of this wiretap.
	2022-LA-158	26	210	70	30	Interception from this wiretap led to the seizure of approximately \$900,000 of narcotics proceeds.
	2022-LA-159	21	135	79	21	Interception from this wiretap led to the seizure of approximately 12 kilograms of cocaine and 20,000 fentanyl pills.
	2022-LA-160	40	527	26	74	No narcotics or narcotics proceeds were seized as a result of this wiretap.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2022

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No. ⁴	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ⁵
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2022-LA-161	45	15,320	85	15	Investigators learned from this wiretap that the target subjects are trafficking heroin, fentanyl, cocaine, and methamphetamine in various counties throughout California as well as other neighboring states. Interception of the wiretaps in this investigation led to the seizure of multiple pounds of methamphetamine, fentanyl, heroin, several firearms, and narcotics proceeds.
	2022-LA-162	31	291	23	77	The target telephones were discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2022-LA-163	151	3,324	20	80	No narcotics or narcotics proceeds were seized.
	2022-LA-164	22	347	86	14	The target telephones were discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2022-LA-165	49	26,835	81	19	Investigators learned from this wiretap that the target subjects are trafficking heroin, fentanyl, cocaine, and methamphetamine in various counties throughout California as well as other neighboring states. Interception of the wiretaps in this investigation led to the seizure of multiple pounds of methamphetamine, fentanyl, heroin, several firearms, and narcotics proceeds.
	2022-LA-166	47	587	25	75	No significant seizures of narcotics or currency were made as a result of this wiretap.
	2022-LA-167	42	4,946	3	97	No narcotics or narcotics proceeds were seized.
	2022-LA-168	12	329	4	96	Agents were not able to seize any narcotics or narcotics proceeds.
	2022-LA-169	108	6,122	8	92	Agents were not able to seize any narcotics or narcotics proceeds.
	2022-LA-170	0	0	0	0	The wiretap was installed, but no communications or persons were intercepted. Agents were not able to seize any narcotics or narcotics proceeds.
	2022-LA-171	21	831	56	44	Agents were not able to seize any narcotics or narcotics proceeds.
	2022-LA-172	53	803	50	50	Interception from this wiretap led to the seizure of approximately \$150,000 of narcotics proceeds.
	2022-LA-173	2	16	19	81	The target telephones were terminated prior to any seizures.
	2022-LA-174	44	507	53	47	The target telephones were terminated prior to any seizures.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2022

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No. ⁴	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ⁵
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Madera	2022-MAD-1	27	507	69	31	The interception resulted in the arrest of 28 individuals. This wiretap also contributed to the seizure of over 1,000 pounds of methamphetamine (both in liquid and crystal form), 2 kilograms of fentanyl, 4 kilograms of heroin, 2,000 M30 pills, and 8 firearms. In addition, 3 active drug labs and 1 premature conversion lab were dismantled. The investigation also resulted in the seizure of \$35,000 worth of forfeited assets. This investigation had significant and positive impact on the community. Removing this amount of narcotics, and deconstructing this particular DTO has resulted in a safer community and aided in avoiding potential overdoses and deaths.
	2022-MAD-2	98	4,459	59	41	This wiretap led to the arrest of 28 individuals and contributed to the interception and seizure of over 1,000 pounds of methamphetamine (both in liquid and crystal form), 2 kilograms of fentanyl, 4 kilograms of heroin, 2,000 M30 pills, and 8 firearms. In addition, 3 active drug labs and 1 premature conversion lab were dismantled. The investigation also resulted in \$35,000 seized in asset forfeiture. This investigation had a significant and positive impact on the community. Removing this amount of narcotics, and deconstructing the DTO has inevitably resulted in a safer community and aided in avoiding potential overdoses, and deaths.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2022

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No. ⁴	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ⁵
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Madera (cont'd)	2022-MAD-3	174	2,335	20	80	The interception resulted in the arrest of 28 individuals. This wiretap also contributed to the seizure of over 1,000 pounds of methamphetamine (both in liquid and crystal form), 2 kilograms of fentanyl, 4 kilograms of heroin, 2,000 M30 pills, and 8 firearms. In addition, 3 active drug labs and 1 premature conversion lab were dismantled. The investigation also resulted in the seizure of \$35,000 worth of forfeited assets. This investigation had significant and positive impact on the community. Removing this amount of narcotics, and deconstructing this particular DTO has resulted in a safer community and aided in avoiding potential overdoses and deaths.
	2022-MAD-4	16	104	44	56	The interception resulted in the arrest of 28 individuals. This wiretap also contributed to the seizure of over 1,000 pounds of methamphetamine (both in liquid and crystal form), 2 kilograms of fentanyl, 4 kilograms of heroin, 2,000 M30 pills, and 8 firearms. In addition, 3 active drug labs and 1 premature conversion lab were dismantled. The investigation also resulted in the seizure of \$35,000 worth of forfeited assets.
	2022-MAD-5	20	917	53	47	The interception resulted in the arrest of 28 individuals. This wiretap also contributed to the seizure of over 1,000 pounds of methamphetamine (both in liquid and crystal form), 2 kilograms of fentanyl, 4 kilograms of heroin, 2,000 M30 pills, 8 firearms, and \$35,000 worth of forfeited assets. In addition, 3 active drug labs and 1 premature conversion lab were dismantled as a result of information obtained from this wiretap.
	2022-MAD-6	45	1,139	40	60	The interception resulted in the arrest of 28 individuals. This wiretap also contributed to the seizure of over 1,000 pounds of methamphetamine (both in liquid and crystal form), 2 kilograms of fentanyl, 4 kilograms of heroin, 2,000 M30 pills, 8 firearms, and \$35,000 worth of forfeited assets. In addition, 3 active drug labs and 1 premature conversion lab were dismantled because of information obtained from this wiretap.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2022

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No. ⁴	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ⁵
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Madera (cont'd)	2022-MAD-7	19	125	22	78	The interception resulted in the arrest of 28 individuals. This wiretap also contributed to the seizure of over 1,000 pounds of methamphetamine (both in liquid and crystal form), 2 kilograms of fentanyl, 4 kilograms of heroin, 2,000 M30 pills, 8 firearms, and \$35,000 worth of forfeited assets. In addition, 3 active drug labs and 1 premature conversion lab were dismantled as a result of information obtained from this wiretap.
Orange	2022-OR-1	43	1,366	4	96	Interceptions included discussions about an unsolved homicide investigation from 2014. Prior to the interceptions, there were no further leads to pursue. With information developed through the wire interception, possible suspects have been identified and further investigation is pending.
	2022-OR-2	1,614	31,218	5	95	There have been multiple shootings and murders in this particular gang neighborhood. 4 suspects were responsible for the violence. This wiretap was instrumental in solidifying evidence against these subjects, identifying a vehicle used in the crimes, and providing probable cause for further search warrants.
Placer	2022-PLA-1	33	3,310	66	34	Investigators began an electronic interception for a target of a homicide investigation. Investigators authored 1 wiretap affidavit and initiated interception of 1 cellular phone and 1 social media account for 1 suspect. During the investigation, investigators intercepted communications related to the homicide and narcotic sales. Through wire interception, investigators assisted in solving the homicide that resulted in the arrest of 1 suspect and prevented the sales of narcotics to minors. Takedown operations occurred shortly thereafter when a wire interception indicated that the suspect intended to flee the area to evade law enforcement. The operation resulted in the arrest of 1 individual as well as the seizure of 2.37 grams of fentanyl and 38 M30 pills (suspected fentanyl).

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2022

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No. ⁴	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ⁵
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Riverside	2021-RIV-81	287	20,782	36	64	During the interception, agents seized \$176,140 of narcotics proceeds, approximately 219.8 pounds of methamphetamine and 2 kilograms of cocaine.
	2021-RIV-87	118	4,392	22	78	During this interception, agents seized \$106,910 of narcotics.
	2021-RIV-88	192	4,866	13	87	Interception of target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2021-RIV-98	506	15,418	85	15	During the course of this investigation, agents learned the method of operation, others involved, and identified other target telephones used by this particular DTO. The interception of the target subject(s) has led to other wiretaps involving the target subjects. Investigators have learned that the target subjects are trafficking fentanyl and methamphetamine throughout various counties in California, but also at least 3 other states within the continental United States.
	2021-RIV-99	294	43,651	18	82	Interception of target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2021-RIV-103	7	236	27	73	Interception of target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2021-RIV-104	72	1,326	22	78	During this interception, agents seized \$29,180 of narcotics proceeds and 5 kilograms of cocaine.
	2021-RIV-109	58	1,257	29	71	During this interception, agents seized \$555,791 of narcotics.
	2021-RIV-112	38	4,638	14	86	
	2021-RIV-115	107	3,417	24	76	
	2021-RIV-116	74	1,418	25	75	During this interception, agents seized \$112,991 of narcotics proceeds, 154 pounds of methamphetamine and 9 kilograms of cocaine.
	2021-RIV-117	277	19,204	17	83	During this interception, agents seized \$360,155 of narcotics proceeds.
	2021-RIV-118	112	9,654	13	87	
	2021-RIV-119	238	17,901	19	81	Interception of target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2021-RIV-120	36	696	76	24	

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2022

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No. ⁴	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ⁵
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Riverside (cont'd)	2021-RIV-121	29	3,398	70	30	During the course of this investigation, agents learned the method of operation, others involved, and identified other target telephones used by this particular DTO. The interception of the target subject(s) has led to other wiretaps involving the target subjects. Investigators have learned that the target subjects are trafficking fentanyl and methamphetamine throughout various counties in California as well as at least 3 other states within the continental United States. So far the interception has led to the seizure of multiple pounds of methamphetamine, fentanyl, heroin, several firearms, and narcotic proceeds.
	2022-RIV-1	99	3,894	13	87	Interception of target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2022-RIV-2	140	5,255	22	78	During this interception, agents seized \$141,050 of narcotics proceeds and approximately 300 pounds of methamphetamine and 1 firearm.
	2022-RIV-3	170	5,529	21	79	Interception of target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2022-RIV-4	7	1,531	40	60	
	2022-RIV-5	177	1,099	5	95	
	2022-RIV-6	24	1,609	2	98	
	2022-RIV-7	189	5,887	15	85	
	2022-RIV-8	199	3,296	7	93	The interception of this telephone line aided in the seizure of 36.3 pounds of fentanyl pills (200,000 pills), 29.4 pounds of fentanyl powder, and 1 kilogram of black tar heroin.
	2022-RIV-9	104	7,558	14	86	During this interception, agents seized approximately 9 kilograms of cocaine, 3 kilograms of fentanyl and 10,000 fentanyl-laced counterfeit M30 oxycodone pills.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2022

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No. ⁴	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ⁵
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Riverside (cont'd)	2022-RIV-10	79	6,124	60	40	During the course of this investigation, agents learned the method of operation, others involved, and identified other target telephones used by this particular DTO. The interception of the target subject(s) has led to other wiretaps involving the target subjects. Investigators have learned that the target subjects are trafficking fentanyl and methamphetamine throughout various counties in California as well as at least 2 other states within the continental United States. So far the interception has led to the seizure of multiple pounds of methamphetamine, fentanyl, heroin, several firearms, and narcotic proceeds.
	2022-RIV-11	183	10,829	15	85	During this interception, agents seized \$99,711 of narcotics proceeds and approximately 40 pounds of methamphetamine.
	2022-RIV-12	48	1,866	23	77	Interception of target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2022-RIV-13	121	2,350	12	88	Interception of target was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2022-RIV-14	56	944	25	75	
	2022-RIV-15	101	974	1	99	No narcotic seizures were generated from this intercept. This intercept was utilized to gather information on a different target subject.
	2022-RIV-16	5	5	0	100	
	2022-RIV-17	41	1,044	4	96	
	2022-RIV-18	36	509	60	40	During the course of this investigation, agents learned the method of operation, others involved, and identified other target telephones used by this particular DTO. The interception of the target subject(s) has led to other wiretaps involving the target subjects. Investigators have learned that the target subjects are trafficking fentanyl and methamphetamine throughout various counties in California as well as at least 2 other states within the continental United States. So far the interception has led to the seizure of multiple pounds of methamphetamine, fentanyl, heroin, several firearms, and narcotic proceeds.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2022

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No. ⁴	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ⁵
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Riverside (cont'd)	2022-RIV-20	73	905	40	60	During the course of this investigation, agents learned the method of operation, others involved, and identified other target telephones used by this particular DTO. The interception of the target subject(s) has led to other wiretaps involving the target subjects. Investigators have learned that the target subjects are trafficking fentanyl and methamphetamine throughout various counties in California as well as at least 3 other states within the continental United States. So far the interception has led to the seizure of multiple pounds of methamphetamine, fentanyl, heroin, several firearms, and narcotic proceeds.
	2022-RIV-21	69	1,055	20	80	During this interception, agents seized approximately 8 kilograms of suspected cocaine and approximately 22,000 counterfeit fentanyl pills.
	2022-RIV-22	26	514	51	49	During this interception, agents seized approximately 511 pounds of methamphetamine.
	2022-RIV-23	185	7,820	32	68	Interception of target telephone(s) was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2022-RIV-24	122	2,427	2	98	Interception of target telephone(s) was disconnected prior to any seizures.
	2022-RIV-25	61	1,829	24	76	During this interception, agents seized approximately 25 pounds of methamphetamine.
	2022-RIV-26	122	4	12	88	Interception of target telephones was disconnected prior to any seizures.
	2022-RIV-27	104	1,686	14	86	
	2022-RIV-28	2	60	27	73	
	2022-RIV-29	32	519	6	94	
	2022-RIV-30	83	1,575	2	98	Interception of target telephone was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2022-RIV-31	147	7,691	10	90	During this interception, agents seized \$150,900 of illicit narcotics proceeds.
	2022-RIV-32	66	2,368	49	51	Interception of target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2022-RIV-33	152	7,392	8	92	Interception of target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2022-RIV-34	129	2,087	12	88	This wiretap resulted in seizure of 32 kilograms of cocaine, 2.5 pounds of methamphetamine, and 8 firearms.
	2022-RIV-35	92	251	87	13	
	2022-RIV-36	84	2,911	23	77	

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2022

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No. ⁴	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ⁵
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Riverside (cont'd)	2022-RIV-37	6	71	25	75	
	2022-RIV-38	143	3,474	5	95	During this interception, agents seized \$70,765 of illicit narcotics proceeds, approximately 3 pounds of methamphetamine, and 1 firearm.
	2022-RIV-39	10	877	2	98	
	2022-RIV-40	53	1,189	26	74	
	2022-RIV-41	221	22,129	4	96	During this interception, agents seized \$27,960 of illicit narcotics proceeds, approximately 59 kilograms of cocaine and 6 firearms.
	2022-RIV-42	49	2,358	24	76	During this interception, agents seized approximately 1185.9 pounds of methamphetamine, 40 gallons of liquid methamphetamine, 1 rifle and 1 handgun. Agents also dismantled an active methamphetamine lab.
	2022-RIV-43	33	193	10	90	This wiretap resulted in seizure of 32 kilograms of cocaine, 2.5 pounds of methamphetamine, and 8 firearms.
	2022-RIV-44	26	547	21	79	Interception of target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2022-RIV-45	32	1,379	12	88	
	2022-RIV-46	4,836	5,022	27	73	
	2022-RIV-47	144	4,930	21	79	The interception of this telephone line aided in the seizure of 174 pounds of methamphetamine and 8 kilograms of cocaine.
	2022-RIV-48	272	3,525	31	69	The interception of this telephone line aided in the seizure of 174 pounds of methamphetamine.
	2022-RIV-49	204	8,290	17	83	During this interception, agents seized \$77,494 of illicit narcotics proceeds and 13 firearms.
	2022-RIV-50	130	4,377	23	77	During this interception, agents seized approximately 4 kilograms of cocaine.
	2022-RIV-51	50	2,926	11	89	
	2022-RIV-52	373	18,096	3	97	During this interception, agents seized approximately \$607,095 of illicit narcotics proceeds.
	2022-RIV-53	4	1,187	10	90	
	2022-RIV-54	2	9	0	100	Interception of target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2022-RIV-55	243	14,930	4	96	During this interception, agents seized \$100,020 of illicit narcotics proceeds.
	2022-RIV-56	389	18,276	4	96	
	2022-RIV-57	182	7,929	15	85	
	2022-RIV-58	51	843	2	98	

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2022

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No. ⁴	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ⁵
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Riverside (cont'd)	2022-RIV-59	1	8,239	60	40	During the 90-day wiretap investigation, agents seized approximately 8 pounds of counterfeit M30 pills laced with fentanyl, 24 pounds of methamphetamine, 8 kilos of heroin, 1 kilo of cocaine, and 94 grams of alprazolam pills. Additionally, investigators were able to identify how the target utilized the United States Postal Service to distribute drugs throughout the United States, which created leads for other government agencies to investigate further. The target of this investigation faces federal drug charges in a nearby state.
	2022-RIV-60	1	210	30	70	During the 30-day wiretap investigation, approximately 7 kilos of methamphetamine and 1 kilo of cocaine were seized. Due to the aforementioned seizure, the target dropped his phone, and investigators were unable to further identify and exploit his DTO.
	2022-RIV-61	201	3,918	30	70	The interception of this telephone line aided in the seizure of 44 pounds of methamphetamine and 15 kilograms of cocaine.
	2022-RIV-62	194	12,263	33	67	During this interception, agents seized \$102,060 of illicit narcotics proceeds and 1 firearm.
	2022-RIV-63	36	1,428	35	65	During this interception, agents seized approximately 173 kilograms of cocaine and \$361,347 of illicit narcotics proceeds.
	2022-RIV-64	42	1,852	37	63	During this interception, agents seized \$102,060 of illicit narcotics proceeds and 1 firearm.
	2022-RIV-65	2	27	7	93	Interception of target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2022-RIV-66	34	976	7	93	During this interception, agents seized approximately 18 kilograms of cocaine and approximately 10 pounds of methamphetamine.
	2022-RIV-67	844	21,654	4	96	
	2022-RIV-68	64	3,620	31	69	During this interception, agents seized approximately 3 pounds of methamphetamine, \$75,765 of illicit narcotics proceeds, and 1 firearm.
	2022-RIV-69	134	3,190	7	93	
	2022-RIV-70	5,091	5,701	10	90	

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2022

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No. ⁴	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ⁵
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Riverside (cont'd)	2022-RIV-71	29	713	43	57	Interception of target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2022-RIV-72	92	3,492	19	81	Interception of target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2022-RIV-73	109	6,132	23	77	
	2022-RIV-74	6	114	21	79	Interception of target telephone was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2022-RIV-75	1,376	68,239	2	98	
	2022-RIV-76	96	1,094	18	82	
	2022-RIV-77	136	5,670	14	86	Interception of target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2022-RIV-78	343	11,990	6	94	Interception of target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2022-RIV-79	212	5,856	9	91	During this interception, agents seized approximately 221.5 pounds of methamphetamine, 3.5 pounds of fentanyl, 2 pounds of heroin, and \$4,000 in illicit narcotics proceeds.
	2022-RIV-80	65	949	54	46	Interception of target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2022-RIV-81	406	4,712	15	85	The interception of this telephone line aided in the seizure of 65 pounds of methamphetamine, 15 kilograms of cocaine, and \$66,000 of illicit U.S. currency derived from narcotic sales.
	2022-RIV-82	13	407	27	73	
	2022-RIV-83	38	1,894	12	88	
	2022-RIV-84	150	16,828	8	92	During this interception, agents seized \$477,420 of illicit narcotics proceeds.
	2022-RIV-85	5,423	6,056	8	92	
	2022-RIV-86	79	1,924	41	59	During this interception, agents seized \$111,420 of illicit narcotics proceeds and approximately 15 pounds of methamphetamine.
	2022-RIV-87	143	6,751	3	97	Interception of target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2022-RIV-88	45	1,481	38	62	Interception of target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2022-RIV-89	85	2,216	4	96	Interception of target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2022-RIV-90	452	541	23	77	
	2022-RIV-91	54	1,835	23	77	During this interception, agents seized \$214,690 of illicit narcotics proceeds and 2 firearms.
	2022-RIV-92	33	605	15	85	Interception of target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2022-RIV-93	111	4,772	10	90	During this interception, agents seized approximately 15 pounds of cocaine.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2022

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No. ⁴	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ⁵
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Riverside (cont'd)	2022-RIV-94	1,846	2,125	29	71	
	2022-RIV-95	144	6,349	15	85	During this interception, agents seized \$55,030 of illicit narcotics proceeds, approximately 1,000 fentanyl-laced counterfeit M30 oxycodone pills, and approximately 1 kilogram of cocaine.
	2022-RIV-96	193	7,580	17	83	Because of this wiretap, agents seized approximately 11,000 fentanyl-laced counterfeit M30 oxycodone pills, 7 pounds of methamphetamine, 1 kilogram of cocaine, and 1 firearm.
	2022-RIV-97	835	16,462	28	72	This wiretap allowed for the seizure of 120 pounds of methamphetamine, 10.5 kilograms of cocaine, and approximately \$173,000 in illicit narcotic proceeds.
	2022-RIV-98	26	1,697	17	83	Interception of target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2022-RIV-99	47	701	37	63	The interception of this telephone line aided in the seizure of 174 pounds of methamphetamine and 8 kilograms of cocaine.
	2022-RIV-100	5,396	5,777	8	92	
	2022-RIV-101	27	803	17	83	Because of this wiretap, agents seized \$309,120 of illicit narcotics proceeds, approximately 144 pounds of methamphetamine, approximately 15 kilograms of cocaine, approximately 13 pounds of heroin and 1 firearm.
	2022-RIV-102	79	5,366	11	89	Interception of target telephone was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2022-RIV-103	173	15,960	27	73	Interception of target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2022-RIV-104	3	1,860	50	50	During the 30-day wiretap investigation, law enforcement agents seized approximately 100,000 fentanyl pills being transported into the United States from a foreign country. Investigators were able to further establish how the target used social media platforms to buy and sell drugs outside of being a courier of a foreign-based supply source.
	2022-RIV-105	76	4,564	18	82	
	2022-RIV-106	91	5,165	20	80	During this interception, agents seized \$354,120 of illicit narcotics proceeds, approximately 144 pounds of methamphetamine, approximately 15 kilograms of cocaine, approximately 13 pounds of heroin, and 3 firearms.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2022

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No. ⁴	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ⁵
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Riverside (cont'd)	2022-RIV-107	164	6,859	22	78	During this interception, agents seized approximately \$184,540 of illicit narcotics proceeds, approximately 4 kilograms of cocaine, and approximately 3 kilograms of heroin.
	2022-RIV-108	330	362	23	77	
	2022-RIV-109	83	1,116	17	83	The interception of this telephone line aided in the seizure of 120.8 pounds of methamphetamine, 10.5 kilograms of cocaine, and \$96,372 of illicit U.S. currency derived from narcotic sales.
	2022-RIV-110	68	3,150	19	81	
	2022-RIV-111	127	3,813	8	92	No narcotics were seized as a result of this wiretap.
	2022-RIV-112	203	49,430	7	93	During this interception, agents seized approximately 2.75 pounds of cocaine and \$115,320 in illicit narcotics proceeds.
	2022-RIV-113	123	7,774	11	89	During this interception, agents seized \$309,120 of illicit narcotics proceeds, approximately 144 pounds of methamphetamine, 15 kilograms of cocaine, 13 pounds of heroin, and 1 firearm.
	2022-RIV-114	2	96	1	99	Interception of target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2022-RIV-115	87	1,911	11	89	Interception of target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2022-RIV-116	119	2,259	17	83	During this interception, agents seized approximately 40,000 fentanyl-laced counterfeit M30 oxycodone pills, approximately 9 kilograms of cocaine, and approximately 3.5 kilograms of heroin.
	2022-RIV-117	139	3,362	5	95	Interception of target telephone was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2022-RIV-118	119	2,504	24	76	This wiretap resulted in seizure of 58 pounds of methamphetamine, 1 firearm, and \$66,000 U.S. currency.
	2022-RIV-119	9	9	0	100	
	2022-RIV-120	125	6,350	5	95	During this interception, agents seized \$30,889 of illicit narcotics proceeds, approximately 11 kilograms of cocaine, approximately 0.6 pounds of methamphetamine, and 5 firearms.
	2022-RIV-121	14	179	27	73	No narcotics were seized from this wiretap.
	2022-RIV-122	7,033	7,662	5	95	
	2022-RIV-123	68	1,682	11	89	Interception of target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2022

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No. ⁴	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ⁵
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Riverside (cont'd)	2022-RIV-124	176	4,846	5	95	During this interception, agents seized approximately \$130,150 of illicit narcotics proceeds.
	2022-RIV-125	50	3,494	14	86	This wiretap resulted in the seizure of 1 handgun and \$59,960 in illicit narcotics proceeds.
	2022-RIV-126	103	1,340	8	92	The interception of this telephone line aided in the seizure of 36.3 pounds of fentanyl pills (200,000 pills), 29.4 pounds of fentanyl powder, and 1 kilogram of black tar heroin.
	2022-RIV-127	103	1,749	1	99	No narcotic seizures were generated from this intercept.
	2022-RIV-128	39	906	11	89	No narcotic seizures were generated from this intercept.
	2022-RIV-129	109	1,050	7	93	The interception of this telephone line aided in the seizure of 36.3 pounds of fentanyl pills, 29.4 pounds of fentanyl powder, and 1 kilogram of black tar heroin.
	2022-RIV-130	70	481	19	81	This wiretap resulted in seizure of 32 kilograms of cocaine, 2.5 pounds of methamphetamine, and 8 firearms.
	2022-RIV-131	83	6,850	11	89	During this interception, agents seized approximately 14.4 pounds of cocaine, 128.2 pounds of methamphetamine, and 4.6 pounds of heroin.
	2022-RIV-132	36	1,376	23	77	
	2022-RIV-133	361	27,825	7	93	Interception of target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2022-RIV-134	221	2,994	12	88	This wiretap resulted in the seizure of 1.5 kilograms of heroin, 1.5 kilograms of cocaine, 76 pounds of methamphetamine, 13 firearms, and \$173,000 in U.S. currency.
	2022-RIV-135	182	193	9	91	
	2022-RIV-136	65	15,315	3	97	Interception of target telephone was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2022-RIV-137	188	6,761	4	96	During this interception, agents seized \$115,520 of illicit narcotics proceeds.
	2022-RIV-138	183	5,803	26	74	Interception of target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2022-RIV-139	52	2,232	7	93	During this interception, agents seized \$147,999 of illicit narcotics proceeds and 6 kilograms of cocaine.
	2022-RIV-140	15	2,049	1	99	Interception of target telephone was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2022-RIV-141	1	14	0	100	

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2022

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No. ⁴	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ⁵
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Riverside (cont'd)	2022-RIV-142	188	8,388	7	93	Because of this wiretap, agents seized approximately 60 pounds of methamphetamine, 9 kilograms of cocaine, 1 kilogram of fentanyl, 2.5 kilograms of counterfeit M30 fentanyl pills, and approximately \$114,000 of illicit narcotics proceeds.
	2022-RIV-143	18	775	18	82	
	2022-RIV-144	356	6,815	32	68	This wiretap contributed to the seizure of 5 pounds of methamphetamine, 2 kilograms of cocaine, 1 AR-15 firearm, and approximately \$37,000 in illicit narcotic proceeds.
	2022-RIV-145	7	8,146	1	99	During this interception, agents seized approximately 30.6 pounds of cocaine.
	2022-RIV-146	12	3,856	1	99	Interception of target telephone was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2022-RIV-147	161	8,439	5	95	Interception of target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2022-RIV-148	189	1,915	21	79	Additional narcotic sources were identified through the intercepts of this affidavit.
	2022-RIV-149	198	2,839	17	83	Investigators seized 1 kilogram of cocaine as a result of this wiretap.
	2022-RIV-150	104	1,471	16	84	
	2022-RIV-151	191	3,578	26	74	Interception of target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2022-RIV-152	36	3,453	23	77	1 AR-15 firearm, 5 pounds of methamphetamine, 2 kilograms of cocaine, and approximately \$37,000 were seized because of this wiretap.
	2022-RIV-153	198	26,265	4	96	Interception of target telephone was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2022-RIV-154	148	3,970	13	87	Interception of target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2022-RIV-155	48	47,827	1	99	Interception of target telephone was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2022-RIV-156	128	3,297	8	92	Interception of target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2022-RIV-157	115	3,538	9	91	Interception of target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2022-RIV-158	315	1,709	35	65	This wiretap resulted in the seizure of heroin, 2,100 fentanyl pills, and approximately \$27,000.
	2022-RIV-160	67	2,302	10	90	
	2022-RIV-161	22	1,518	5	95	During this interception, agents seized approximately 1,084 pounds of methamphetamine, 792 gallons of liquid methamphetamine, and 21 firearms.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2022

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No. ⁴	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ⁵
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Riverside (cont'd)	2022-RIV-162	761	5,009	3	97	
	2022-RIV-163	25	179	39	61	During this interception, agents seized approximately \$60,024 of illicit narcotics proceeds.
	2022-RIV-164	46	615	15	85	Interception of target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2022-RIV-165	62	547	3	97	No narcotics were seized. Wiretap was terminated after limited pertinent conversations.
	2022-RIV-166	0	0	0	0	Interception of target telephone was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2022-RIV-167	113	1,655	14	86	During this interception, investigators seized 1 kilogram of cocaine.
	2022-RIV-169	106	4,177	5	95	Interception of target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2022-RIV-170	13	265	24	76	During this interception, agents seized approximately 7,000 counterfeit fentanyl pills.
	2022-RIV-171	14	107	31	69	No narcotics were seized. Wiretap was terminated after limited pertinent conversations because user obtained a new number.
	2022-RIV-172	54	1,619	15	85	Interception of target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2022-RIV-173	10	18,422	1	99	Interception of target telephone was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2022-RIV-174	38	349	1	99	
	2022-RIV-175	42	37,129	2	98	During this interception, agents seized approximately 1.7 pounds of marijuana, 13 firearms, and an endangered lemur.
	2022-RIV-177	4	82	0	100	Interception of target telephone was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2022-RIV-178	160	6,860	17	83	During this interception, agents seized approximately 50 pounds of suspected methamphetamine.
	2022-RIV-179	128	6,800	9	91	
	2022-RIV-181	14	73	58	42	During this interception, investigators seized 1 kilogram of cocaine.
	2022-RIV-185	0	0	0	0	
	2022-RIV-186	14	356	36	64	This wiretap resulted in the seizure of 5 pounds of methamphetamine, 1 kilogram of cocaine, 1 AR-15 rifle, and \$35,000.
	2022-RIV-187	42	573	20	80	During this interception, agents seized approximately 54 pounds of methamphetamine.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2022

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No. ⁴	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ⁵
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Riverside (cont'd)	2022-RIV-188	199	2,475	19	81	81 pounds of methamphetamine, a methamphetamine wash laboratory, and \$35,000 were seized because of this wiretap.
	2022-RIV-191	37	1,002	4	96	Interception of target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2022-RIV-192	105	1,944	27	73	During this interception, agents seized approximately 7 kilograms of cocaine and approximately \$155,000 in illicit narcotics proceeds.
	2022-RIV-193	247	2,475	6	94	1 pound of methamphetamine, 2 kilograms of cocaine, and \$90,000 were seized as a result of this wiretap.
	2022-RIV-196	11	57	23	77	During this interception, investigators seized 1 kilogram of cocaine.
San Bernardino	2022-SBD-1	325	2,951	29	71	
	2022-SBD-2	380	5,704	1	99	Law enforcement was unable to effectively intercept two of the target numbers due to timing.
	2022-SBD-3	17	1,200	1	99	Nothing of evidentiary value was discovered or collected during interception.
	2022-SBD-4	2,184	5,514	5	95	
	2022-SBD-5	3,628	9,421	19	81	
	2022-SBD-6	170	3,796	6	94	Investigators obtained key evidence in a homicide investigation.
San Diego	2022-SD-1	153	2,482	23	77	
	2022-SD-2	28	791	28	72	The task force responsible for the wiretap seized approximately 14 pounds of methamphetamine, approximately 1 ounce of cocaine, 1 vehicle, and a few thousand dollars in U.S. currency. 5 individuals were also arrested and 2 arrest warrants for two other subjects were obtained as a result of information learned from this wiretap. Agents likewise identified multiple additional sources of supply.
	2022-SD-3	241	2,842	9	91	Based on interceptions, law enforcement was able to determine that the target phone had been given to an unknown female prior to interceptions commencing. Law enforcement was able to determine that the female utilizing the phone was a narcotic user, but she was not involved in the trafficking of narcotics.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2022

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No. ⁴	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ⁵
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
San Diego (cont'd)	2022-SD-4	217	1,771	14	86	Based on interceptions, law enforcement was able to confirm the DTO was trafficking fentanyl pills and methamphetamine. In addition, law enforcement identified 2 more sources of supply of fentanyl pills and methamphetamine, along with associated stash houses. Law enforcement also seized a sellable quantity of methamphetamine and an AR-15 firearm.
	2022-SD-5	78	1,031	12	88	Through the use of this interception, information was obtained leading to the identification of two customers of the target, the mode of transportation utilized by the target, established narcotic delivery patterns, provided identifying information about the target's source of supply, and the DTO's delivery methods. Although no assets or narcotics were seized during the 29-day period, continued investigation with the use of the information obtained should result in future arrests and seizures.
San Joaquin	2022-SJ-1	580	9,861	1	99	
	2022-SJ-2	94	1,616	4	96	
	2022-SJ-3	764	21,270	2	98	See 2022-SJ-11
	2022-SJ-4	281	6,710	2	98	See 2022-SJ-11
	2022-SJ-5	1,041	15,747	10	90	See 2022-SJ-11
	2022-SJ-6	1,239	24,360	9	91	See 2022-SJ-11
	2022-SJ-7	680	7,404	12	88	See 2022-SJ-11
	2022-SJ-8	52	1,736	4	96	See 2022-SJ-11
	2022-SJ-9	1,162	65,385	6	94	See 2022-SJ-11
	2022-SJ-10	87	1,499	9	91	See 2022-SJ-11

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2022

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No. ⁴	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ⁵
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
San Joaquin (cont'd)	2022-SJ-11	436	5,191	5	95	During the investigation, investigators intercepted communications related to murder, attempted murder, firearms trafficking, narcotic sales, robberies, and gang activity. Through wire interception, investigators prevented 5 shootings, a burglary, and a robbery and solved 2 prior robberies and a stabbing. Investigators also assisted in solving three homicides that resulted in the arrest of three suspects with 2 additional arrests pending. 3 suspects were arrested for attempted murder and 4 were arrested for conspiracy to commit murder. During the operation, 88 felony arrests were made and 58 firearms were seized, including 10 assault weapons and 12 ghost guns.
San Luis Obispo	2022-SLO-1	133	12,509	8	92	Detectives intercepted 6.35 pounds of methamphetamine and discovered that the narcotics were to be transported to another state by a criminal street gang. Firearms were also seized.
	2022-SLO-2	453	7,244	18	82	This wiretap was related to an investigation targeting a DTO with ties to a foreign-based source of supply. The goal of the investigation was to identify subjects involved in the sales of deadly opiates and other controlled substances, and dismantle the organization. At the conclusion of the wiretap investigation, law enforcement officers seized approximately 3.47 pounds of heroin, 3.4 pounds of fentanyl, 7.48 pounds of methamphetamine, and approximately \$20,000 in U.S. currency. Law enforcement also seized over 30 firearms. Based on the investigation, investigators have identified 19 subjects suspected of being members of this particular DTO or facilitators involved in the distribution of narcotics.
San Mateo	2022-SM-1	12	9,956	3	97	The wiretap targeted two suspects in a murder as well as their girlfriends who acted as accessories after the fact.
	2022-SM-2	2	1,851	4	96	See 2022-SM-1
	2022-SM-3	1	424	13	87	See 2022-SM-1
Santa Clara	2022-SCL-1	984	6,534	14	86	These wiretaps resulted in the arrests of members of a local criminal street gang for two previously unsolved murders.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2022

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No. ⁴	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ⁵
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Santa Clara (cont'd)	2022-SCL-2	0	0	0	0	These wiretaps resulted in the arrests of members of a local criminal street gang for two previously unsolved murders.
	2022-SCL-3	624	3,775	1	99	These wiretaps resulted in the arrests of members of a local criminal street gang for two previously unsolved murders.
Stanislaus	2022-STA-1	82	8,610	5	95	This case involved a homicide investigation and concerned a community safety risk.
	2022-STA-2	107	2,501	18	82	This wiretap investigated a DTO and large amounts of methamphetamine and money were seized as a result of the investigation.
	2022-STA-3	206	2,680	3	97	Large amounts of methamphetamine and money were seized as a result of this wiretap.
Tulare	2022-TUL-1	20	82	24	76	Wiretap revealed that the target was able to access large quantities of methamphetamine.
	2022-TUL-2	14	498	11	89	Wiretap revealed that the target was able to access large quantities of methamphetamine.
	2022-TUL-3	17	423			Target was a drug trafficker who was arrested with a firearm. A later residential search warrant revealed 1 kilogram of cocaine and a rifle.
	2022-TUL-4	29	470	13	87	The target delivered 5 pounds of methamphetamine, directed a 53-pound shipment of methamphetamine across the border, and had an active drug press.
	2022-TUL-6	1	1			
	2022-TUL-7	1	11			
	2022-TUL-8	1	25			
Ventura	2021-VE-10	760	19,090	12.9	87.1	This wiretap aided in the seizure of more than 20 pounds of methamphetamine, several ounces of heroin, numerous fentanyl pills, over \$17,000 in drug proceeds, and 3 guns. 3 individuals have also been arrested so far for gang-related narcotics trafficking and robbery.
	2022-VE-1	186	3,547	10	90	As a result of this wiretap investigation, detectives seized approximately 6 pounds of methamphetamine, 7.5 pounds of fentanyl, 464 pressed M30 pills containing fentanyl, and 272 grams of fentanyl.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2022

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No. ⁴	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ⁵
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Ventura (cont'd)	2022-VE-2	292	3,448	21	79	During this investigation, detectives seized approximately 60 pounds of methamphetamine, 5,500 M30 fentanyl pills, over 2 kilograms of cocaine, 7 handguns, and approximately \$36,000 in suspected drug proceeds. 7 individuals were also arrested.
	2022-VE-3	874	24,924	6	94	The investigation resulted in the seizure of methamphetamine, narcotic sales equipment, and a vehicle believed to be purchased with funds derived from narcotics trafficking.
	2022-VE-4	493	10,281	5	95	During this investigation, investigators seized approximately 1.5 pounds of methamphetamine, 50,000 fentanyl pills, thousands of dollars in proceeds from various sales of controlled substances, and 2 firearms including an illegal assault pistol.
	2022-VE-5	612	14,572	8	92	See 2022-VE-4
	2022-VE-6	461	14,434	12	88	Because of this wiretap investigation, detectives seized approximately 11 pounds of methamphetamine, 75.5 grams of cocaine, 800 pressed M30 pills containing fentanyl, 2 firearms, and \$7,469.
	2022-VE-7	291	5,350	11	89	See 2022-VE-4
Yolo	2022-YO-1	7	240	1	99	Wiretap provided incriminating communication in a cold case homicide.

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Alameda	2022-ALA-1	Hatzenbuehler, Detective	Nancy O'Malley	Hon. C. Don Clay	9/16/2022	3/15/2023	12/21/2022	58
	2022-ALA-2	Zhou, Sgt.	Nancy O'Malley	Hon. C. Don Clay	11/17/2022	2/15/2023	12/22/2022	104
	2022-ALA-3	Zhou, Sgt.	Nancy O'Malley	Hon. C. Don Clay	11/9/2022	2/7/2023	12/22/2022	2
Contra Costa	2022-CC-1	Stenger, Sergeant	Diana Becton	Hon. John C. Cope	8/22/2022	8/22/2022	8/2/2022	120
Fresno	2022-FR-22	Motter, Detective	Lisa Smittcamp	Hon. Jonathan Conklin	4/15/2022	7/14/2023	7/13/2022	460
	2022-FR-23	Motter, Detective	Lisa Smittcamp	Hon. Jonathan Conklin	4/15/2022	7/14/2023	7/13/2022	27
	2022-FR-24	Motter, Detective	Lisa Smittcamp	Hon. Jonathan Conklin	4/15/2022	7/14/2023	7/13/2022	314
	2022-FR-25	Motter, Detective	Lisa Smittcamp	Hon. Jonathan Conklin	4/15/2022	7/14/2022	7/13/2022	57
	2022-FR-26	Motter, Detective	Lisa Smittcamp	Hon. Jonathan Conklin	4/15/2022	7/14/2022	7/13/2022	41
	2022-FR-27	Motter, Detective	Lisa Smittcamp	Hon. Jonathan Conklin	4/15/2022	7/14/2022	7/13/2022	32
	2022-FR-28	Motter, Detective	Lisa Smittcamp	Hon. Jonathan Conklin	4/15/2022	7/14/2023	7/13/2022	29
	2022-FR-29	Motter, Detective	Lisa Smittcamp	Hon. Jonathan Conklin	4/15/2022	7/14/2023	7/13/2022	27
	2022-FR-30	Motter, Detective	Lisa Smittcamp	Hon. Jonathan Conklin	4/15/2022	7/14/2022	7/13/2022	10
	2022-FR-31	Motter, Detective	Lisa Smittcamp	Hon. Jonathan Conklin	4/15/2022	7/14/2022	7/13/2022	0
	2022-FR-32	Motter, Detective	Lisa Smittcamp	Hon. Jonathan Conklin	4/15/2022	7/14/2022	7/13/2022	19
	2022-FR-33	Motter, Detective	Lisa Smittcamp	Hon. Jonathan Conklin	4/15/2022	7/14/2022	7/13/2022	15
	2022-FR-34	Hull, Detective	Lisa Smittcamp	Hon. Jonathan Conklin	11/11/2022	2/11/2023		
	2022-FR-35	Hull, Detective	Lisa Smittcamp	Hon. Jonathan Conklin	11/11/2022	2/11/2023		
Kern	2022-KE-1	Benavente, Detective	David McKillop	Hon. John R. Brownlee	4/22/2022		4/22/2022	81
	2022-KE-2	Benavente, Detective	David McKillop	Hon. John R. Brownlee	4/22/2022		4/22/2022	0
	2022-KE-3	Benavente, Detective	David McKillop	Hon. John R. Brownlee	4/22/2022		4/22/2022	0

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Kern (cont'd)	2022-KE-4	Benavente, Detective	David McKillop	Hon. John R. Brownlee	4/22/2022		4/22/2022	0
	2022-KE-5	Benavente, Detective	David McKillop	Hon. John R. Brownlee	4/22/2022		4/22/2022	0
	2022-KE-6	Benavente, Detective	David McKillop	Hon. John R. Brownlee	4/22/2022		4/22/2022	0
	2022-KE-7	Kift, Special Agent	David McKillop	Hon. John R. Brownlee	7/6/2022	1/2/2023	11/2/2022	14
	2022-KE-8	Petris, Detective	Eric Smith	Hon. John R. Brownlee	6/1/2022		6/1/2022	6
	2022-KE-9	Petris, Detective	Eric Smith	Hon. John R. Brownlee	6/1/2022		6/1/2022	12
	2022-KE-10	Kift, Special Agent	David McKillop	Hon. John R. Brownlee	7/6/2022	1/2/2023	11/2/2022	3
	2022-KE-11	Kift, Special Agent	David McKillop	Hon. John R. Brownlee	7/6/2022	1/2/2023	11/2/2022	0
	2022-KE-12	Kift, Special Agent	David McKillop	Hon. John R. Brownlee	7/6/2022	1/2/2023	11/2/2022	3
	2022-KE-13	Howell, Special Agent	Cynthia Zimmer	Hon. John R. Brownlee		1/6/2023		
	2022-KE-14	Howell, Special Agent	Cynthia Zimmer	Hon. John R. Brownlee		1/6/2023		
	2022-KE-15	James, Senior Officer	David McKillop	Hon. John R. Brownlee	1/4/2023	12/23/2022	1/4/2023	12
	2022-KE-16	Howell, Special Agent	Ken Russell	Hon. John R. Brownlee		1/6/2023		
	2022-KE-17	Howell, Special Agent	Ken Russell	Hon. John R. Brownlee		1/6/2023		
	2022-KE-18	Martinez, Senior Officer	David McKillop	Hon. John R. Brownlee		1/15/2023		
Los Angeles	2022-LA-1	Gutierrez, TFO ⁶	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	5/13/2022	4/19/2022	7/6/2022	3
	2022-LA-2	Gutierrez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler		1/11/2023		
	2022-LA-3	Gutierrez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	10/13/2022	4/20/2022	10/26/2022	1
	2022-LA-4	Gutierrez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	6/1/2022	5/14/2022	7/6/2022	1
	2022-LA-5	Gutierrez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	12/22/2022	11/27/2022	1/4/2023	1

⁶ The acronym "TFO" refers to "Task Force Officer."

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2022-LA-6	Gutierrez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	5/13/2022	4/5/2022	7/6/2022	1
	2022-LA-7	Gutierrez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	8/3/2022	8/3/2022	8/5/2022	1
	2022-LA-8	Gutierrez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	10/13/2022	4/25/2022	10/26/2022	1
	2022-LA-9	Gutierrez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	10/13/2022	6/25/2022	10/26/2022	1
	2022-LA-10	Martinez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	5/17/2022	5/21/2022	7/6/2022	4
	2022-LA-11	Martinez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/22/2022	4/22/2022	3/31/2022	4
	2022-LA-12	Martinez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	9/27/2022	6/9/2022	10/5/2022	4
	2022-LA-13	Martinez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler		3/30/2023		
	2022-LA-14	Martinez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/22/2022	4/9/2022	3/31/2022	2
	2022-LA-15	Martinez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	6/14/2022	6/14/2022	7/6/2022	1
	2022-LA-16	Gutierrez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	12/16/2022	12/26/2022	12/21/2022	1
	2022-LA-17	Martinez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	6/14/2022	6/20/2022	7/6/2022	3
	2022-LA-18	Martinez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/22/2022	4/21/2022	3/31/2022	1
	2022-LA-19	Martinez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	12/21/2022	1/3/2023	1/4/2023	1
	2022-LA-20	Martinez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	6/14/2022	6/14/2022	7/6/2022	1
	2022-LA-21	Martinez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	5/17/2022	5/21/2022	7/6/2022	1
	2022-LA-22	Martinez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	5/17/2022	5/28/2022	7/6/2022	2
	2022-LA-23	Martinez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	6/14/2022	6/4/2022	7/6/2022	1
	2022-LA-24	Martinez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler		3/21/2023		
	2022-LA-25	Gonzales, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	12/15/2022	12/12/2022	12/22/2022	3
	2022-LA-26	Gonzales, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler		2/12/2023		

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2022-LA-27	Gonzales, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler		2/12/2023		
	2022-LA-28	Gonzales, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	10/21/2022	10/20/2022	10/26/2022	1
	2022-LA-29	Gonzales, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	1/4/2023	1/19/2023	1/17/2023	2
	2022-LA-30	Martinez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	6/14/2022	6/27/2022	7/6/2022	1
	2022-LA-31	Gonzales, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	11/23/2022	11/16/2022	12/1/2022	1
	2022-LA-32	Gonzales, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler		2/12/2023		
	2022-LA-33	Gonzales, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler		1/31/2023		
	2022-LA-35	Martinez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	7/7/2022	7/2/2022	7/8/2022	1
	2022-LA-36	Martinez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	12/21/2022	1/3/2023	1/4/2023	2
	2022-LA-37	Martinez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	9/19/2022	8/26/2022	9/21/2022	4
	2022-LA-38	Martinez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	10/6/2022	10/5/2022	10/11/2022	3
	2022-LA-39	Martinez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	6/14/2022	6/28/2022	7/6/2022	3
	2022-LA-40	Martinez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	9/19/2022	9/6/2022	9/21/2022	1
	2022-LA-41	Martinez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	8/16/2022	8/5/2022	8/17/2022	1
	2022-LA-42	Martinez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	8/16/2022	8/16/2022	8/17/2022	2
	2022-LA-43	Martinez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	8/16/2022	8/26/2022	8/17/2022	2
	2022-LA-44	Martinez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	7/7/2022	7/28/2022	7/7/2022	0
	2022-LA-45	Martinez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	9/16/2022	9/16/2022	9/20/2022	2
	2022-LA-46	Martinez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	9/16/2022	9/22/2022	9/20/2022	2
	2022-LA-47	Martinez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	9/27/2022	9/23/2022	10/5/2022	3
	2022-LA-48	Martinez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	9/27/2022	8/27/2022	10/5/2022	2

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2022-LA-49	Martinez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	9/27/2022	8/30/2022	10/5/2022	2
	2022-LA-50	Martinez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	9/16/2022	9/15/2022	9/20/2022	1
	2022-LA-51	Martinez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler		3/28/2023		
	2022-LA-52	Martinez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	9/16/2022	9/21/2022	9/20/2022	2
	2022-LA-53	Graham, Detective	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler		3/15/2023		
	2022-LA-54	Gomez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	8/3/2022	5/6/2022	8/5/2022	4
	2022-LA-55	Gomez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	8/3/2022	4/5/2022	8/5/2022	1
	2022-LA-56	Gomez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	8/3/2022	4/19/2022	8/5/2022	2
	2022-LA-57	Gomez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	8/3/2022	4/29/2022	8/5/2022	1
	2022-LA-58	Gomez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	8/3/2022	6/28/2022	8/5/2022	2
	2022-LA-59	Gomez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	8/3/2022	6/15/2022	8/5/2022	2
	2022-LA-60	Gomez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	8/3/2022	6/28/2022	8/5/2022	0
	2022-LA-61	Tiscareno, Investigator	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler		3/7/2023		
	2022-LA-62	Graham, Detective	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler		1/24/2023		
	2022-LA-63	Gonzales, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	12/22/2022	11/27/2022	1/4/2023	2
	2022-LA-64	Carrillo, Detective	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler		3/15/2023		
	2022-LA-65	Carrillo, Detective	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler		3/15/2023		
	2022-LA-66	Carrillo, Detective	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler		3/15/2023		
	2022-LA-67	Carrillo, Detective	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler		3/15/2023		
	2022-LA-68	Carrillo, Detective	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler		1/24/2023		
	2022-LA-69	Gonzales, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	12/21/2022	11/30/2022	12/21/2022	0

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2022-LA-70	Carrillo, Detective	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler		2/17/2023		
	2022-LA-71	Graham, Detective	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler		1/3/2023		
	2022-LA-72	Martinez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	10/6/2022	10/27/2022	10/11/2022	1
	2022-LA-73	Carrillo, Detective	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	12/8/2022	11/20/2022	12/9/2022	1
	2022-LA-74	Gonzales, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	1/4/2023	1/5/2023	1/17/2023	2
	2022-LA-75	Carrillo, Detective	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler		3/13/2023		
	2022-LA-76	Gonzales, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	12/22/2022	12/23/2022	1/4/2023	2
	2022-LA-77	Gonzales, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler		1/31/2023		
	2022-LA-78	Carrillo, Detective	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler		1/24/2023		
	2022-LA-79	Martinez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler		3/28/2023		
	2022-LA-80	Gonzales, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	1/4/2023	1/5/2023	1/17/2023	2
	2022-LA-81	Gonzales, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	12/16/2022	12/14/2022	12/21/2022	1
	2022-LA-82	Gonzales, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	1/4/2023	1/24/2023	1/17/2023	2
	2022-LA-83	Graham, Detective	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	Never Installed	Never Installed	Never Installed	Never Installed
	2022-LA-84	Gonzales, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	12/16/2022	12/26/2022	12/23/2022	3
	2022-LA-85	Carrillo, Detective	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler		1/3/2023		
	2022-LA-86	Martinez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	10/6/2022	10/25/2022	10/11/2022	1
	2022-LA-87	Brookshire, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler		3/21/2023		
	2022-LA-88	Gonzales, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	1/4/2023	1/15/2023	1/17/2023	2
	2022-LA-89	Gonzales, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	12/19/2022	12/26/2022	12/23/2022	3
	2022-LA-90	Martinez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	10/6/2022	10/1/2022	10/11/2022	2

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2022-LA-91	Martinez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	11/9/2022	11/26/2022	11/10/2022	3
	2022-LA-92	Martinez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	11/9/2022	11/12/2022	11/10/2022	3
	2022-LA-93	Martinez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler		3/1/2023		
	2022-LA-94	Martinez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	11/9/2022	11/12/2022	11/10/2022	3
	2022-LA-95	Gonzales, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler		3/21/2023		
	2022-LA-96	Martinez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler		2/26/2023		
	2022-LA-97	Gonzales, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler		3/21/2023		
	2022-LA-98	Martinez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	12/21/2022	12/3/2022	1/4/2023	3
	2022-LA-99	Gonzales, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	12/21/2022	12/13/2022	1/4/2023	2
	2022-LA-100	Martinez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	12/21/2022	12/13/2022	1/4/2023	4
	2022-LA-101	Martinez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	12/21/2022	12/13/2022	1/4/2023	1
	2022-LA-102	Martinez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	12/22/2022	12/12/2022	12/22/2022	0
	2022-LA-103	Torres, Detective	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	10/13/2022	11/10/2022	10/26/2022	70
	2022-LA-104	Torres, Detective	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	10/13/2022	11/12/2022	10/26/2022	88
	2022-LA-105	Gutierrez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	12/22/2022	12/12/2022	1/4/2023	1
	2022-LA-106	Gonzales, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	12/16/2022	12/17/2022	12/21/2022	1
	2022-LA-107	Gonzales, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler		3/21/2023		
	2022-LA-108	Gonzales, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	12/22/2022	12/17/2022	1/4/2023	2
	2022-LA-109	Gonzales, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	12/22/2022	3/15/2023	1/4/2022	2
	2022-LA-110	Gonzales, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	12/16/2022	1/1/2023	12/22/2022	1
	2022-LA-111	Gonzales, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler		3/21/2023		

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2022-LA-112	Gonzales, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler		1/12/2023		
	2022-LA-113	Gonzales, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	12/22/2022	1/17/2023	1/4/2023	2
	2022-LA-114	Martinez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	12/21/2022	12/24/2022	1/4/2023	3
	2022-LA-115	Martinez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	12/21/2022	1/20/2023	1/4/2023	4
	2022-LA-116	Martinez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler		3/21/2023		
	2022-LA-117	Martinez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	12/21/2022	12/29/2022	1/4/2023	2
	2022-LA-118	Martinez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler		1/6/2023		
	2022-LA-119	Carrillo, Detective	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler		3/15/2023		
	2022-LA-120	Carrillo, Detective	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler		3/15/2023		
	2022-LA-121	Carrillo, Detective	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler		3/15/2023		
	2022-LA-122	Carrillo, Detective	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler		2/17/2023		
	2022-LA-123	Carrillo, Detective	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler		2/26/2023		
	2022-LA-124	Carrillo, Detective	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler		3/30/2023		
	2022-LA-125	Carrillo, Detective	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler		2/8/2023		
	2022-LA-126	Carrillo, Detective	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler		3/3/2023		
	2022-LA-127	Carrillo, Detective	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler		3/10/2023		
	2022-LA-128	Gonzales, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	12/16/2022	1/25/2023	12/21/2022	2
	2022-LA-129	Gonzales, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler		1/27/2023		
	2022-LA-130	Gonzales, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	1/4/2023	1/27/2023	1/17/2023	2
	2022-LA-131	Saldana, Detective	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler		2/26/2023		
	2022-LA-132	Newby, Detective	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler		3/27/2023		

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2022-LA-133	Saldana, Detective	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler		2/26/2023		
	2022-LA-134	Cruz, Detective	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	12/16/2022	11/26/2022	12/21/2022	25
	2022-LA-135	Saldana, Detective	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler		2/26/2023		
	2022-LA-136	Saldana, Detective	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler		1/11/2023		
	2022-LA-137	Saldana, Detective	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler		2/26/2023		
	2022-LA-138	Cruz, Detective	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	12/16/2022	12/18/2022	12/21/2022	38
	2022-LA-139	Rodriguez, Detective	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler		2/26/2023		
	2022-LA-140	Rodriguez, Detective	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler		2/26/2023		
	2022-LA-141	Martinez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	12/21/2022	1/28/2023	1/4/2023	3
	2022-LA-142	Martinez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler		1/28/2023		
	2022-LA-143	Martinez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler		1/14/2023		
	2022-LA-144	Martinez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler		2/12/2023		
	2022-LA-145	Rodriguez, Detective	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler		2/26/2023		
	2022-LA-146	Martinez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler		2/4/2023		
	2022-LA-147	Martinez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler		2/16/2023		
	2022-LA-148	Martinez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	12/21/2022	1/14/2023	1/4/2023	1
	2022-LA-149	Rodriguez, Detective	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler		2/26/2023		
	2022-LA-150	Martinez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler		2/18/2023		
	2022-LA-151	Gonzales, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler		3/2/2023		
	2022-LA-152	Martinez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler		2/15/2023		
	2022-LA-153	Carrillo, Detective	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler		2/23/2023		

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2022-LA-154	Carrillo, Detective	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler		1/27/2023		
	2022-LA-155	Carrillo, Detective	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler		2/4/2023		
	2022-LA-156	Gonzales, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler		2/3/2023		
	2022-LA-157	Gonzales, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler		2/2/2023		
	2022-LA-158	Martinez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler		2/4/2023		
	2022-LA-159	Martinez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler		2/14/2023		
	2022-LA-160	Gonzales, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler		2/14/2023		
	2022-LA-161	Carrillo, Detective	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler		3/21/2023		
	2022-LA-162	Martinez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler		2/16/2023		
	2022-LA-163	Gonzales, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler		2/18/2023		
	2022-LA-164	Martinez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler		2/22/2023		
	2022-LA-165	Carrillo, Detective	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler		3/21/2023		
	2022-LA-166	Gomez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler		3/2/2023		
	2022-LA-167	Gonzales, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler		3/2/2023		
	2022-LA-168	Gonzales, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler		3/14/2023		
	2022-LA-169	Gonzales, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler		3/16/2023		
	2022-LA-170	Gonzales, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler		3/22/2023		
	2022-LA-171	Gonzales, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler		3/28/2023		
	2022-LA-172	Martinez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler		3/28/2023		
	2022-LA-173	Martinez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler		3/22/2023		
	2022-LA-174	Martinez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler		3/31/2023		

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Madera	2022-MAD-1	Hernandez, Corporal	Sally O. Moreno	Hon. Ernest J. LiCalsi	12/29/2022	12/29/2022	12/8/2022	See 2022-MAD-7
	2022-MAD-2	Hernandez, Corporal	Sally O. Moreno	Hon. Ernest J. LiCalsi	12/29/2022	12/29/2022	12/8/2022	See 2022-MAD-7
	2022-MAD-3	Hernandez, Corporal	Sally O. Moreno	Hon. Ernest J. LiCalsi	12/29/2022	12/29/2022	12/8/2022	See 2022-MAD-7
	2022-MAD-4	Hernandez, Corporal	Sally O. Moreno	Hon. Ernest J. LiCalsi	12/29/2022	12/29/2022	12/8/2022	See 2022-MAD-7
	2022-MAD-5	Hernandez, Corporal	Sally O. Moreno	Hon. Ernest J. LiCalsi	12/29/2022	12/29/2022	12/8/2022	See 2022-MAD-7
	2022-MAD-6	Hernandez, Corporal	Sally O. Moreno	Hon. Ernest J. LiCalsi	12/29/2022	12/29/2022	12/8/2022	See 2022-MAD-7
	2022-MAD-7	Hernandez, Corporal	Sally O. Moreno	Hon. Ernest J. LiCalsi	12/29/2022	12/29/2022	12/8/2022	75
Orange	2022-OR-1	Tunstall, Detective	Todd Spitzer	Hon. Kimberly Menninger		2/16/2023		
	2022-OR-2	Shynn, Detective	Todd Spitzer	Hon. Kimberly Menninger		3/28/2023		
Placer	2022-PLA-1	Craven, Sheriff Deputy	Daniel Wesp	Hon. Michael W. Jones	11/8/2022		10/28/2022	20
Riverside	2021-RIV-81	Pope, TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Samuel Diaz Jr.	8/4/2022	5/10/2022	8/24/2022	16
	2021-RIV-87	Pope, TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Samuel Diaz Jr.	7/14/2022	4/15/2022	8/18/2022	14
	2021-RIV-88	Pope, TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Samuel Diaz Jr.	5/18/2022	8/13/2022	8/24/2022	5
	2021-RIV-98	Marquez, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Samuel Diaz Jr.	6/10/2022		7/28/2022	420
	2021-RIV-99	Carroll, Sr. Investigator / TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Samuel Diaz Jr.	7/28/2022	7/8/2022	7/28/2022	14
	2021-RIV-103	Carroll, Sr. Investigator / TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Samuel Diaz Jr.	4/1/2022	1/6/2022	4/27/2022	3
	2021-RIV-104	Pope, TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Samuel Diaz Jr.	8/25/2022	6/2/2022	9/6/2022	6
	2021-RIV-109	Pope, TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Samuel Diaz Jr.	7/14/2022	4/21/2022	8/18/2022	11
	2021-RIV-112	Battisti, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Samuel Diaz Jr.	5/18/2022	2/17/2022	3/28/2022	16
	2021-RIV-115	Battisti, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Samuel Diaz Jr.	12/2/2021	4/29/2022	2/28/2022	26
	2021-RIV-116	Pope, TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Samuel Diaz Jr.	8/25/2022	6/2/2022	9/6/2022	10

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Riverside (cont'd)	2021-RIV-117	Carroll, Sr. Investigator / TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Samuel Diaz Jr.	6/24/2022	9/22/2022	9/22/2022	13
	2021-RIV-118	Pope, TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Burke E. Strunsky	5/6/2022	8/4/2022	8/18/2022	3
	2021-RIV-119	Pope, TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Burke E. Strunsky	9/1/2022	6/8/2022	9/6/2022	17
	2021-RIV-120	Battisti, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Burke E. Strunsky	5/8/2022	2/10/2022	3/28/2022	13
	2021-RIV-121	Marquez, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Samuel Diaz Jr.	4/15/2022	1/15/2022	7/6/2022	23
	2022-RIV-1	Pope, TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Burke E. Strunsky	5/27/2022		6/16/2022	5
	2022-RIV-2	Pope, TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Burke E. Strunsky	6/24/2022		7/6/2022	18
	2022-RIV-3	Carroll, Sr. Investigator / TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Burke E. Strunsky	8/19/2022		9/6/2022	20
	2022-RIV-4	Crews, Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Samuel Diaz Jr.	5/9/2022	8/23/2022	8/18/2022	9
	2022-RIV-5	Swan, Investigator	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Samuel Diaz Jr.	4/26/2022	2/10/2022	4/25/2022	59
	2022-RIV-6	Brosowske, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Samuel Diaz Jr.	5/5/2022		9/29/2022	9
	2022-RIV-7	Battisti, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Samuel Diaz Jr.	8/14/2022		6/22/2022	48
	2022-RIV-8	Cook, Investigator	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Samuel Diaz Jr.	6/3/2022		8/30/2022	4
	2022-RIV-9	Pope, TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Burke E. Strunsky	6/10/2022		7/6/2022	6
	2022-RIV-10	Marquez, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Burke E. Strunsky	5/22/2022		7/27/2022	60
	2022-RIV-11	Carroll, Sr. Investigator / TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Burke E. Strunsky	6/10/2022	9/8/2022	9/30/2022	14
	2022-RIV-12	Pope, TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Burke E. Strunsky	6/10/2022		7/6/2022	4
	2022-RIV-13	Carroll, Sr. Investigator / TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Samuel Diaz Jr.	5/20/2022	8/17/2022	9/6/2022	8
	2022-RIV-14	Battisti, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Samuel Diaz Jr.	5/20/2022		3/28/2022	19
	2022-RIV-15	Cook, Investigator	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Samuel Diaz Jr.	5/19/2022		8/30/2022	2
	2022-RIV-16	Swan, Investigator	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Samuel Diaz Jr.	4/27/2022		4/25/2022	0

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Riverside (cont'd)	2022-RIV-17	Brosowske, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Samuel Diaz Jr.	5/27/2022		3/28/2022	5
	2022-RIV-18	Marquez, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Samuel Diaz Jr.	5/23/2022		7/27/2022	27
	2022-RIV-20	Marquez, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	6/13/2022	3/24/2022	7/27/2022	66
	2022-RIV-21	Quinones, TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	12/2/2022	2/25/2023		
	2022-RIV-22	Chavez, Investigator	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Samuel Diaz Jr.	10/28/2022	2/6/2023		
	2022-RIV-23	Caroll, TFO / Sr. Investigator	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Samuel Diaz Jr.	7/8/2022	10/6/2022	10/6/2022	6
	2022-RIV-24	Pope, TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	6/24/2022		7/7/2022	5
	2022-RIV-25	Caroll, TFO / Sr. Investigator	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Samuel Diaz Jr.	6/10/2022		7/6/2022	5
	2022-RIV-26	Caroll, TFO / Sr. Investigator	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Samuel Diaz Jr.	6/10/2022		7/6/2022	1
	2022-RIV-27	Battisti, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Samuel Diaz Jr.	6/19/2022		5/13/2022	65
	2022-RIV-28	Battisti, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	6/24/2022		4/22/2022	0
	2022-RIV-29	Swan, Investigator	Michel A. Hestrin	Hon. Samuel Diaz Jr.	5/25/2022		5/17/2022	27
	2022-RIV-30	Chavez, Investigator	Michel A. Hestrin	Hon. Samuel Diaz Jr.	10/28/2022	12/28/2022	12/14/2022	22
	2022-RIV-31	Pope, TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	10/21/2022	11/11/2022	11/10/2022	19
	2022-RIV-32	Pope, TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	8/19/2022		9/12/2022	16
	2022-RIV-33	Pope, TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Samuel Diaz Jr.	8/19/2022		8/30/2022	3
	2022-RIV-34	Marshall, Investigator	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Samuel Diaz Jr.	6/23/2022		8/24/2022	3
	2022-RIV-35	Battisti, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Samuel Diaz Jr.	7/18/2022		8/24/2022	50
	2022-RIV-36	Battisti, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	7/15/2022		8/24/2022	62
	2022-RIV-37	Brosowske, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	7/14/2022	4/21/2022	6/17/2022	6
	2022-RIV-38	Pope, TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	7/15/2022		8/24/2022	13

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Riverside (cont'd)	2022-RIV-39	Brosowske, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Samuel Diaz Jr.	6/30/2022		8/18/2022	15
	2022-RIV-40	Brosowske, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	6/30/2022	6/30/2022	8/18/2022	35
	2022-RIV-41	Pope, TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	10/14/2022		10/12/2022	3
	2022-RIV-42	Chavez, Investigator	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Samuel Diaz Jr.	10/28/2022	1/10/2023	12/28/2022	18
	2022-RIV-43	Marshall, Investigator	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	6/7/2022		8/24/2022	3
	2022-RIV-44	Pope, TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	7/28/2022		8/24/2022	2
	2022-RIV-45	Battisti, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Samuel Diaz Jr.	8/27/2022		6/22/2022	32
	2022-RIV-46	Battisti, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	11/3/2022	11/13/2022	11/9/2022	51
	2022-RIV-47	Burch, Deputy	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	6/7/2022	11/24/2022	11/21/2022	4
	2022-RIV-48	Cook, Investigator	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	8/26/2022	11/23/2022	11/21/2022	3
	2022-RIV-49	Carroll, Sr. Investigator/TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	9/22/2022		9/22/2022	9
	2022-RIV-50	Carroll, Sr. Investigator/TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Samuel Diaz Jr.	9/29/2022	10/21/2022	10/18/2022	20
	2022-RIV-51	Brosowske, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	9/21/2022	3/20/2023		
	2022-RIV-52	Pope, TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Samuel Diaz Jr.	8/27/2022		9/6/2022	5
	2022-RIV-53	Crews, TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Samuel Diaz Jr.	5/27/2022	8/23/2022	8/24/2022	4
	2022-RIV-54	Pope, TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	8/13/2022		8/24/2022	1
	2022-RIV-55	Pope, TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	9/22/2022	12/17/2022	12/16/2022	40
	2022-RIV-56	Kerr, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	8/26/2022		8/29/2022	73
	2022-RIV-57	Brosowske, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	9/3/2022		8/2/2022	59
	2022-RIV-58	Brosowske, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	9/2/2022		8/2/2022	8
	2022-RIV-59	Flores, TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	8/14/2022		8/30/2022	2

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Riverside (cont'd)	2022-RIV-60	Flores, TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	8/14/2022		8/30/2022	2
	2022-RIV-61	Burch, Deputy	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	9/1/2022	11/29/2022	11/28/2022	2
	2022-RIV-62	Carroll, Sr. Investigator/TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	9/30/2022		9/28/2022	17
	2022-RIV-63	Pope, TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	9/2/2022		10/19/2022	10
	2022-RIV-64	Pope, TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	9/30/2022		9/28/2022	9
	2022-RIV-65	Pope, TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	9/2/2022		9/6/2022	1
	2022-RIV-66	Pope, TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	9/2/2022		9/6/2022	7
	2022-RIV-67	Childers, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	9/1/2022		8/31/2022	224
	2022-RIV-68	Pope, TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	9/9/2022		9/29/2022	22
	2022-RIV-69	Childers, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	9/8/2022		9/8/2022	142
	2022-RIV-70	Battisti, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	10/7/2022	10/7/2022	10/7/2022	105
	2022-RIV-71	Carroll, Sr. Investigator / TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	9/9/2022		9/29/2022	10
	2022-RIV-72	Pope, TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	9/29/2022	12/2/2022	11/23/2022	19
	2022-RIV-73	Battisti, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	8/14/2022	8/14/2022	6/16/2022	53
	2022-RIV-74	Chavez, Investigator	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	10/28/2022	2/13/2023		
	2022-RIV-75	Childers, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	9/8/2022		9/8/2022	300
	2022-RIV-76	Childers, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	9/8/2022		9/8/2022	8
	2022-RIV-77	Pope, TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Samuel Diaz Jr.	9/22/2022		9/22/2022	7
	2022-RIV-78	Angulo, Deputy	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	10/28/2022	1/24/2023	1/4/2023	124
	2022-RIV-79	Chavez, Investigator	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	10/28/2022	2/8/2023		
	2022-RIV-80	Pope, TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	8/13/2022		8/24/2022	1

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Riverside (cont'd)	2022-RIV-81	Burch, Deputy	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	9/5/2022	12/4/2022	12/1/2022	4
	2022-RIV-82	Escalera, Deputy	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	9/16/2022	3/15/2023		
	2022-RIV-83	Battisti, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	8/5/2022	8/5/2022	6/16/2022	38
	2022-RIV-84	Carroll, Sr. Investigator / TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	10/21/2022		10/18/2022	9
	2022-RIV-85	Battisti, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	10/21/2022		10/11/2022	60
	2022-RIV-86	Pope, TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	10/13/2022	10/21/2022	10/19/2022	11
	2022-RIV-87	Chavez, Investigator	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	10/28/2022	1/4/2023	12/21/2022	46
	2022-RIV-88	Pope, TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	10/13/2022	10/28/2022	10/24/2022	5
	2022-RIV-89	Pope, TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	9/30/2022		9/23/2022	3
	2022-RIV-90	Escalera, Deputy	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	9/30/2022		9/28/2022	5
	2022-RIV-91	Pope, TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	10/28/2022	12/2/2022	12/2/2022	18
	2022-RIV-92	Carroll, Sr. Investigator / TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	10/11/2022		10/11/2022	3
	2022-RIV-93	Chavez, Investigator	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	10/28/2022	2/1/2023	1/5/2023	28
	2022-RIV-94	Battisti, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	9/29/2022		9/28/2022	54
	2022-RIV-95	Pope, TFO	John Aki	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	12/9/2022	12/10/2022	12/9/2022	15
	2022-RIV-96	Pope, TFO	John Aki	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	11/18/2022	12/10/2022	12/9/2022	23
	2022-RIV-97	Burch, Deputy	John Aki	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	11/3/2022	1/30/2023		
	2022-RIV-98	Chavez, Investigator	John Aki	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	10/28/2022	1/4/2023	12/22/2022	7
	2022-RIV-99	Burch, Deputy	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	8/12/2022	11/9/2022	10/26/2022	3
	2022-RIV-100	Brosowske, Deputy	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	10/21/2022	4/19/2023		
	2022-RIV-101	Quinones, TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	10/28/2022	11/19/2022	11/18/2022	9

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Riverside (cont'd)	2022-RIV-102	Chavez, Investigator	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	10/28/2022	2/22/2023		
	2022-RIV-103	Carroll, Sr. Investigator / TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	11/18/2022	2/10/2023		
	2022-RIV-104	Flores, TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	8/5/2022		8/30/2022	1
	2022-RIV-105	Battisti, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	10/7/2022	1/1/2023	12/20/2022	13
	2022-RIV-106	Pope, TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	9/30/2022	12/24/2022	12/20/2022	18
	2022-RIV-107	Pope, TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	10/28/2022	1/20/2023	1/18/2023	27
	2022-RIV-108	Battisti, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Samuel Diaz Jr.	10/21/2022	11/4/2022	10/25/2022	10
	2022-RIV-109	Cook, Investigator	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Samuel Diaz Jr.	10/13/2022	11/3/2022	10/20/2022	7
	2022-RIV-110	Brosowske, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Samuel Diaz Jr.	10/7/2022	3/29/2023		
	2022-RIV-111	Cook, Investigator	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Samuel Diaz Jr.	10/13/2022	11/11/2022	10/28/2022	2
	2022-RIV-112	Chavez, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Samuel Diaz Jr.	12/9/2022	3/2/2023		
	2022-RIV-113	Pope, TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Samuel Diaz Jr.	11/18/2022	12/10/2022	12/9/2022	42
	2022-RIV-114	Pope, TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Samuel Diaz Jr.	10/21/2022	11/11/2022	11/10/2022	4
	2022-RIV-115	Carroll, Sr. Investigator / TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Samuel Diaz Jr.	11/18/2022	2/10/2023		
	2022-RIV-116	Carroll, Sr. Investigator / TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Samuel Diaz Jr.	9/22/2022	12/17/2022	12/16/2022	25
	2022-RIV-117	Chavez, Investigator	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Samuel Diaz Jr.	10/28/2022	2/8/2023		
	2022-RIV-118	Cook, Investigator	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Samuel Diaz Jr.	10/13/2022	11/18/2022	10/20/2022	3
	2022-RIV-119	Brosowske, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	11/9/2022		11/1/2022	0
	2022-RIV-120	Pope, TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	9/30/2022	12/24/2022	12/20/2022	27
	2022-RIV-121	Cook, Investigator	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	10/13/2022	11/15/2022	10/28/2022	1
	2022-RIV-122	Battisti, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	11/18/2022	12/2/2022	11/29/2022	5

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Riverside (cont'd)	2022-RIV-123	Pope, TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	10/7/2022	12/31/2022	12/27/2022	15
	2022-RIV-124	Pope, TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	12/9/2022	2/25/2023		
	2022-RIV-125	Chavez, Investigator	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	9/30/2022	12/22/2022	12/14/2022	20
	2022-RIV-126	Cook, Investigator	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Samuel Diaz Jr.	6/23/2022		8/30/2022	4
	2022-RIV-127	Cook, Investigator	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	6/23/2022		8/30/2022	2
	2022-RIV-128	Marshall, Investigator	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	7/8/2022		8/30/2022	3
	2022-RIV-129	Cook, Investigator	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	7/7/2022		8/30/2022	2
	2022-RIV-130	Marshall, Investigator	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Samuel Diaz Jr.	6/8/2022		8/24/2022	4
	2022-RIV-131	Chavez, Investigator	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	10/7/2022	12/29/2022	12/20/2022	29
	2022-RIV-132	Battisti, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	10/13/2022	1/7/2023	12/21/2022	4
	2022-RIV-133	Pope, TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	11/10/2022	2/4/2023		
	2022-RIV-134	Cook, Investigator	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	10/13/2022	12/9/2022	12/9/2022	1
	2022-RIV-135	Brosowske, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst		3/10/2023		
	2022-RIV-136	Chavez, Investigator	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	10/28/2022	3/8/2023		
	2022-RIV-137	Quinones, TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	10/21/2022	1/14/2023	1/13/2023	8
	2022-RIV-138	Quinones, TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	11/18/2022	2/10/2023		
	2022-RIV-139	Quinones, TFO	John Aki	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	10/28/2022	1/20/2023	1/18/2023	15
	2022-RIV-140	Chavez, Investigator	John Aki	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	9/22/2022	3/15/2023		
	2022-RIV-141	Brosowske, Detective	John Aki	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	12/5/2022	3/5/2023		
	2022-RIV-142	Quinones, TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	10/7/2022	12/31/2022	12/27/2022	17
	2022-RIV-143	Battisti, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	10/28/2022	1/23/2023	1/10/2023	3

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Riverside (cont'd)	2022-RIV-144	Cook, Investigator	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	12/9/2022	2/26/2023		
	2022-RIV-145	Chavez, Investigator	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	9/30/2022	12/22/2022	12/12/2022	4
	2022-RIV-146	Chavez, Investigator	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	10/7/2022	12/29/2022	12/20/2022	4
	2022-RIV-147	Quinones, TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	11/10/2022	2/4/2023		
	2022-RIV-148	Burch, Deputy	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	10/21/2022	1/10/2023	1/9/2023	2
	2022-RIV-149	Swan, Investigator	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	12/23/2022	3/19/2023		
	2022-RIV-150	Escalera, Deputy	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	10/13/2022	4/7/2023		
	2022-RIV-151	Pope, TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	12/9/2022	3/3/2023		
	2022-RIV-152	Cook, Investigator	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	10/21/2022	1/13/2023	1/11/2023	5
	2022-RIV-153	Chavez, Investigator	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	12/9/2022	3/3/2023		
	2022-RIV-154	Quinones, TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	10/21/2022	1/14/2023	1/13/2023	14
	2022-RIV-155	Chavez, Investigator	John Aki	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	11/18/2022	2/8/2023		
	2022-RIV-156	Quinones, TFO	John Aki	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	12/9/2022	2/18/2023		
	2022-RIV-157	Quinones, TFO	John Aki	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	12/9/2022	2/18/2023		
	2022-RIV-158	Cook, Investigator	John Aki	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	10/28/2022	1/19/2023		
	2022-RIV-160	Battisti, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	11/3/2022	1/28/2023	1/10/2023	10
	2022-RIV-161	Chavez, Investigator	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	10/21/2022	1/15/2023	12/28/2022	5
	2022-RIV-162	Escalera, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	12/23/2022	3/22/2023		
	2022-RIV-163	Quinones, TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	11/3/2022	1/28/2023		
	2022-RIV-164	Quinones, TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	11/3/2022	1/28/2023		
	2022-RIV-165	Thornock, Investigator	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	11/18/2022	2/3/2023		

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Riverside (cont'd)	2022-RIV-166	Chavez, Investigator	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	10/21/2022	1/15/2023	12/28/2022	2
	2022-RIV-167	Swan, Investigator	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	11/10/2022	2/3/2023		
	2022-RIV-169	Quinones, TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	11/18/2022	2/10/2023		
	2022-RIV-170	Carroll, Sr. Investigator / TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	11/18/2022	2/10/2023		
	2022-RIV-171	Cook, Investigator	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	11/18/2022	2/10/2023		
	2022-RIV-172	Carroll, Sr. Investigator / TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	11/18/2022	2/10/2023		
	2022-RIV-173	Chavez, Investigator	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	11/18/2022	2/10/2023		
	2022-RIV-174	Escalera, Deputy	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	12/2/2022	2/18/2023		
	2022-RIV-175	Chavez, Investigator	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	12/9/2022	3/3/2023		
	2022-RIV-177	Quinones, TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	12/2/2022	2/18/2023		
	2022-RIV-178	Quinones, TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	12/2/2022	2/18/2023		
	2022-RIV-179	Battisti, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	12/23/2022	3/18/2023		
	2022-RIV-181	Swan, Investigator	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	12/9/2022	3/2/2023		
	2022-RIV-185	Escalera, Deputy	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Samuel Diaz Jr.	12/9/2022	3/3/2023		
	2022-RIV-186	Cook, Investigator	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Samuel Diaz Jr.	12/16/2022	3/3/2023		
	2022-RIV-187	Quinones, TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Samuel Diaz Jr.	12/9/2022	3/3/2023		
	2022-RIV-188	Cook, Investigator	John Aki	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	12/9/2022	3/7/2023		
	2022-RIV-191	Carroll, Sr. Investigator / TFO	John Aki	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	12/2/2022	2/15/2023		
	2022-RIV-192	Quinones, TFO	John Aki	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	12/16/2022	3/10/2023		
	2022-RIV-193	Cook, Investigator	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	12/23/2022	3/17/2023		
	2022-RIV-196	Swan, Investigator	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	1/6/2023	3/31/2023		

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
San Bernardino	2022-SBD-1	Caballero, Detective	Jason Anderson	Hon. William Jefferson Powell IV	6/30/2022	6/28/2022		0
	2022-SBD-2	Caballero, Detective	Jason Anderson	Hon. Christopher S. Pallone	9/6/2022	9/6/2022		
	2022-SBD-3	Schroeder, Investigator	Jason Anderson	Hon. William Jefferson Powell, IV	7/5/2022	3/17/2023		
	2022-SBD-4	Moreno, Deputy Sheriff	Jason Anderson	Hon. William Jefferson Powell, IV	9/9/2022	3/22/2023		
	2022-SBD-5	Moreno, Deputy Sheriff	Jason Anderson/James Hoffman	Hon. William Jefferson Powell, IV	9/9/2022	3/22/2023		
	2022-SBD-6	Kissel, Corporal	Jason Anderson	Hon. William Jefferson Powell, IV	1/30/2023			
San Diego	2022-SD-1	Fealy, TFO	Summer Stephan	Hon. Kimberlee Lagotta	9/2/2022		9/15/2022	34
	2022-SD-2	Fealy, TFO	Summer Stephan	Hon. Kimberlee Lagotta	9/2/2022		9/15/2022	25
	2022-SD-3	Nostrand, TFO	Summer Stephan	Hon. Eugenia Eyherabide		7/3/2023		
	2022-SD-4	Nostrand, TFO	Summer Stephan	Hon. Kimberlee Lagotta		7/3/2023		
	2022-SD-5	Davies, Detective	DA Summer Stephan	Hon. Kimberlee Lagotta	3/13/2023			
San Joaquin	2022-SJ-1	Shelvay, Detective	Tori Verber-Salazar	Hon. Bernard Garber		1/28/2023		
	2022-SJ-2	Shelvay, Detective	Tori Verber-Salazar	Hon. Richard Guiliani		1/28/2023		
	2022-SJ-3	Kestler, Detective	Tori Verber-Salazar	Hon. Richard Guiliani	8/29/2022			See 2022-SJ-11
	2022-SJ-4	Kestler, Detective	Tori Verber-Salazar	Hon. Richard Guiliani	8/29/2022			See 2022-SJ-11
	2022-SJ-5	Sears, Detective	Tori Verber-Salazar	Hon. Richard Guiliani	8/29/2022			See 2022-SJ-11
	2022-SJ-6	Sears, Detective	Tori Verber-Salazar	Hon. Richard Guiliani	8/29/2022			See 2022-SJ-11
	2022-SJ-7	Sears, Detective	Tori Verber-Salazar	Hon. Richard Guiliani	8/29/2022			See 2022-SJ-11
	2022-SJ-8	Afanasiev, Detective	Tori Verber-Salazar	Hon. Richard Guiliani	8/29/2022			See 2022-SJ-11

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
San Joaquin (cont'd)	2022-SJ-9	Kestler, Detective	Tori Verber-Salazar	Hon. Richard Guiliani	8/29/2022			See 2022-SJ-11
	2022-SJ-10	Kestler, Detective	Tori Verber-Salazar	Hon. Richard Guiliani	8/29/2022			See 2022-SJ-11
	2022-SJ-11	Sears, Detective	Tori Verber-Salazar	Hon. Richard Guiliani	8/29/2022		8/15/2022	213
San Luis Obispo	2022-SLO-1	Reinhardt, Detective	Delaney Henretty	Hon. Jacquelyn Duffy	5/10/2022	5/31/2022	5/27/2022	54
	2022-SLO-2	Arnold, Detective	Delaney Henretty	Hon. Craig van Rooyen		1/31/2023		
San Mateo	2022-SM-1	Haggarty, Inspector	Stephen Wagstaffe	Hon. Kevin Dunleavy	12/11/2022	8/2/2022	12/11/2022	71
	2022-SM-2	Haggarty, Inspector	Stephen Wagstaffe	Hon. Kevin Dunleavy	12/11/2022	8/2/2022	12/11/2022	See 2022-SM-1
	2022-SM-3	Haggarty, Inspector	Stephen Wagstaffe	Hon. Kevin Dunleavy	12/11/2022	8/2/2022	12/11/2022	See 2022-SM-1
Santa Clara	2022-SCL-1	Sapient, Detective	Jeffrey Rosen	Hon. Elizabeth Peterson	12/9/2022	3/8/2023		
	2022-SCL-2	Sapient, Detective	Jeffrey Rosen	Hon. Elizabeth Peterson	Installed But Not Used	Installed But Not Used	Installed But Not Used	Installed But Not Used
	2022-SCL-3	Sapient, Detective	Jeffrey Rosen	Hon. Elizabeth Peterson	12/9/2022	3/8/2023		
Stanislaus	2022-STA-1	Jr, Detective	Birgit Fladager	Hon. Robert Westbrook	7/27/2022	8/9/2022	8/4/2022	42
	2022-STA-2	Fontes, Detective	Jeffrey Laugero	Hon. Nancy Leo		1/26/2023		
	2022-STA-3	Fontes, Detective	Birgit Fladager	Hon. Nancy Leo		2/13/2023		
Tulare	2022-TUL-1	Renard, Detective	Tim Ward	Hon. Anthony Fultz	1/26/2023			
	2022-TUL-2	Renard, Detective	Tim Ward	Hon. Anthony Fultz	1/26/2023			
	2022-TUL-3	Renard, Detective	Tim Ward	Hon. Anthony Fultz	1/26/2023			
	2022-TUL-4	Renard, Detective	Tim Ward	Hon. Anthony Fultz	1/26/2023			
	2022-TUL-6	Salinas, Detective	Tim Ward	Hon. Anthony Fultz				
	2022-TUL-7	Salinas, Detective	Tim Ward	Hon. Anthony Fultz				
	2022-TUL-8	Salinas, Detective	Tim Ward	Hon. Anthony Fultz				

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Ventura	2021-VE-10	Foote, Deputy	Erik Nasarenko	Hon. David Worley	11/28/2022	11/28/2022	11/28/2022	179
	2022-VE-1	Garnier, Deputy	Erik Nasarenko	Hon. David Worley	8/26/2022	9/6/2022	8/26/2022	72
	2022-VE-2	Contreras, Deputy	Erik Nasarenko	Hon. David Worley	10/28/2022	11/22/2022	10/28/2022	113
	2022-VE-3	Juaregui, Deputy	Erik Nasarenko	Hon. David Worley	11/28/2022	11/25/2022	11/28/2022	207
	2022-VE-4	Deblauw, Deputy	Erik Nasarenko	Hon. David Worley		2/27/2023		
	2022-VE-5	Deblauw, Deputy	Erik Nasarenko	Hon. David Worley		2/27/2023		
	2022-VE-6	Garnier, Deputy	Erik Nasarenko	Hon. Patricia Murphy		2/28/2023		
	2022-VE-7	Deblauw, Deputy	Erik Nasarenko	Hon. Derek Malan		2/27/2023		
Yolo	2022-YO-1	Silvermaster, Yolo	Jeff Reisig	Hon. Judge Peter Williams	10/7/2022			

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2022

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁷
Alameda	2022-ALA-1	5 Officer/Agent Monitors, 1 Agent Technician	132,947	4,800	137,747
	2022-ALA-2	See 2022-ALA-3			
	2022-ALA-3	4 monitors, 1 agent and 1 technical agent	480,000	8,500	488,500
Alameda Total			612,947	13,300	626,247
Contra Costa	2022-CC-1	Monitors covering 24-hour interception; setup cost for installation	177,537	25,000	202,537
Contra Costa Total			177,537	25,000	202,537
Fresno	2022-FR-22	50 agents, 2 technicians	287,000	150,000	437,000
	2022-FR-23	See 2022-FR-22			
	2022-FR-24	See 2022-FR-22			
	2022-FR-25	See 2022-FR-22			
	2022-FR-26	See 2022-FR-22			
	2022-FR-27	See 2022-FR-22			
	2022-FR-28	See 2022-FR-22			
	2022-FR-29	See 2022-FR-22			
	2022-FR-30	See 2022-FR-22			
	2022-FR-31	See 2022-FR-22			
	2022-FR-32	See 2022-FR-22			
	2022-FR-33	See 2022-FR-22			
	2022-FR-34	12 monitors, 25 agents, 1 technician	430,000	15,000	445,000
	2022-FR-35	See 2022-FR-34			
Fresno Total			717,000	165,000	882,000

⁷ Total costs per electronic interception and per county do not delineate between sources of funds (county, state, federal).

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2022

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁷
Kern	2022-KE-1	3 monitors, 1 supervisor, 1 case agent, 15 FBI agents (surveillance), 4 detectives (surveillance), and 14 patrol officers	45,000	0	45,000
	2022-KE-2	See 2022-KE-1			
	2022-KE-3	See 2022-KE-1			
	2022-KE-4	See 2022-KE-1			
	2022-KE-5	See 2022-KE-1			
	2022-KE-6	See 2022-KE-1			
	2022-KE-7	8 linguists/Interpreters, Pen Registers, Personnel, 10 agents, 6 task force officers, 4 telecommunication specialist, 8 HIDTA members	0	98,740	98,740
	2022-KE-8	2 monitors, 1 supervisor, 1 case agent, 6 detectives (surveillance), and 8 patrol officers	71,000	2,500	73,500
	2022-KE-9	See 2022-KE-8			
	2022-KE-10	See 2022-KE-7			
	2022-KE-11	See 2022-KE-7			
	2022-KE-12	See 2022-KE-7			
	2022-KE-13	Contract linguists-monitor and transcript (7) Technician- 1	55,331	317	55,648
	2022-KE-14	See 2022-KE-13			
	2022-KE-15	1 Technician, 2 Agents, 3 Police Officers	23,804	1,585	25,389

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2022

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁷
Kern (cont'd)	2022-KE-16	See 2022-KE-13			
	2022-KE-17	See 2022-KE-13			
	2022-KE-18	1 Technician, 2 Agents, 3 Police Officers, 4 Contract linguists- monitor and transcript (8 linguists)	276,658	1,585	278,243
Kern Total			471,793	104,727	576,520
Los Angeles	2022-LA-1	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2022-LA-2	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2022-LA-3	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2022-LA-4	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2022-LA-5	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2022-LA-6	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2022-LA-7	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2022-LA-8	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2022-LA-9	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2022-LA-10	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-LA-11	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-LA-12	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-LA-13	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-LA-14	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2022

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁷
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2022-LA-15	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-LA-16	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2022-LA-17	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-LA-18	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-LA-19	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-LA-20	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-LA-21	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-LA-22	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-LA-23	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-LA-24	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-LA-25	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2022-LA-26	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2022-LA-27	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2022-LA-28	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2022-LA-29	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2022-LA-30	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-LA-31	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2022-LA-32	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2022-LA-33	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2022-LA-35	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2022

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁷
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2022-LA-36	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-LA-37	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-LA-38	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-LA-39	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-LA-40	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-LA-41	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-LA-42	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-LA-43	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-LA-44	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-LA-45	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-LA-46	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-LA-47	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-LA-48	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-LA-49	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-LA-50	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-LA-51	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-LA-52	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-LA-53	2 monitors, 4 investigators	20,000	9,000	29,000
	2022-LA-54	2 monitors, 1 technician	28,000	2,000	30,000

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2022

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁷
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2022-LA-55	2 monitors, 1 technician	28,000	2,000	30,000
	2022-LA-56	2 monitors, 1 technician	28,000	2,000	30,000
	2022-LA-57	2 monitors, 1 technician	28,000	2,000	30,000
	2022-LA-58	2 monitors, 1 technician	28,000	2,000	30,000
	2022-LA-59	2 monitors, 1 technician	28,000	2,000	30,000
	2022-LA-60	2 monitors, 1 technician	28,000	2,000	30,000
	2022-LA-61	3 monitors, 5 agents, 1 technician	24,100	1,700	25,800
	2022-LA-62	2 monitors, 4 investigators	50,000	1,200	51,200
	2022-LA-63	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2022-LA-64	2 monitors, 2 investigators	40,000	1,200	41,200
	2022-LA-65	2 monitors, 4 investigators	20,000	9,000	29,000
	2022-LA-66	2 monitors, 2 investigators	40,000	1,200	41,200
	2022-LA-67	2 monitors, 2 investigators	40,000	1,200	41,200
	2022-LA-68	2 monitors, 2 investigators	40,000	1,200	41,200
	2022-LA-69	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2022-LA-70	2 monitors, 2 investigators	40,000	1,200	41,200
	2022-LA-71	4 monitors, 4 investigators	60,000	1,200	61,200
	2022-LA-72	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-LA-73	2 monitors, 2 investigators	40,000	1,200	41,200
	2022-LA-74	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2022

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁷
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2022-LA-75	2 monitors, 2 investigators	40,000	1,200	41,200
	2022-LA-76	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2022-LA-77	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2022-LA-78	2 monitors, 2 investigators	40,000	1,200	41,200
	2022-LA-79	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-LA-80	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2022-LA-81	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2022-LA-82	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2022-LA-84	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2022-LA-85	2 monitors, 2 investigators	40,000	1,200	41,200
	2022-LA-86	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-LA-87	2 monitors	32,004	3,000	35,004
	2022-LA-88	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2022-LA-89	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2022-LA-90	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-LA-91	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-LA-92	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-LA-93	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-LA-94	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-LA-95	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2022

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁷
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2022-LA-96	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-LA-97	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2022-LA-98	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-LA-99	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2022-LA-100	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-LA-101	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-LA-102	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-LA-103	16 monitors, 2 technicians, 1 analyst, 2 investigating officers	136,000	13,200	149,200
	2022-LA-104	See 2022-LA-103			
	2022-LA-105	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2022-LA-106	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2022-LA-107	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2022-LA-108	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2022-LA-109	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2022-LA-110	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2022-LA-111	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2022-LA-112	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2022-LA-113	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2022-LA-114	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-LA-115	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2022

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁷
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2022-LA-116	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-LA-117	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-LA-118	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-LA-119	2 monitors, 2 investigators	40,000	1,200	41,200
	2022-LA-120	2 monitors, 2 investigators	40,000	1,200	41,200
	2022-LA-121	2 monitors, 2 investigators	40,000	1,200	41,200
	2022-LA-122	2 monitors, 2 investigators	40,000	1,200	41,200
	2022-LA-123	2 monitors, 2 investigators	40,000	1,200	41,200
	2022-LA-124	2 monitors, 2 investigators	40,000	1,200	41,200
	2022-LA-125	2 monitors, 2 investigators	40,000	1,200	41,200
	2022-LA-126	2 monitors, 2 investigators	40,000	1,200	41,200
	2022-LA-127	2 monitors, 2 investigators	40,000	1,200	41,200
	2022-LA-128	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2022-LA-129	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2022-LA-130	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2022-LA-131	2 monitors, 1 agent	36,000	12,000	48,000
	2022-LA-132	7 monitors, 2 agents, 1 detective, 1 technician	176,859	1,280	178,139
	2022-LA-133	2 monitors, 1 agent	36,000	8,000	44,000
	2022-LA-134	13 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	6,500	1,660	8,160

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2022

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁷
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2022-LA-135	2 monitors, 1 agent	12,200	1,000	13,200
	2022-LA-136	2 monitors, 1 agent	24,400	2,000	26,400
	2022-LA-137	2 monitors, 1 agent	12,200	1,000	13,200
	2022-LA-138	13 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	12,400	1,040	13,440
	2022-LA-139	2 monitors, 1 agent	48,800	4,000	52,800
	2022-LA-140	2 monitors, 1 agent	24,400	2,000	26,400
	2022-LA-141	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-LA-142	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-LA-143	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-LA-144	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-LA-145	See 2022-LA-140			
	2022-LA-146	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-LA-147	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-LA-148	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-LA-149	2 monitors, 1 agent	12,200	1,000	13,200
	2022-LA-150	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-LA-151	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2022-LA-152	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-LA-153	2 monitors, 2 investigators	40,000	1,200	41,200
	2022-LA-154	2 monitors, 2 investigators	40,000	1,200	41,200

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2022

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁷
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2022-LA-155	2 monitors, 2 investigators	40,000	1,200	41,200
	2022-LA-156	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2022-LA-157	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2022-LA-158	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-LA-159	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-LA-160	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2022-LA-161	2 monitors, 2 investigators	40,000	1,200	41,200
	2022-LA-162	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-LA-163	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2022-LA-164	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-LA-165	2 monitors, 2 investigators	40,000	1,200	41,200
	2022-LA-166	2 monitors, 1 technician	28,000	2,000	30,000
	2022-LA-167	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2022-LA-168	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2022-LA-169	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2022-LA-170	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2022-LA-171	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2022-LA-172	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-LA-173	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-LA-174	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2022

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁷
Los Angeles Total			5,351,313	464,880	5,816,193
Madera	2022-MAD-1	5 monitors, 4 Task Force Agents	303,651	116,100	419,751
	2022-MAD-2	See 2022-MAD-1			
	2022-MAD-3	See 2022- MAD-1			
	2022-MAD-4	See 2022-MAD-1			
	2022-MAD-5	See 2022-MAD-1			
	2022-MAD-6	See 2022-MAD-1			
	2022-MAD-7	See 2022-MAD-1			
Madera Total			303,651	116,100	419,751
Orange	2022-OR-1	15 monitors, 1 technician	79,000	0	79,000
	2022-OR-2	4 full time FBI monitors, 1 technician, 3 civilian monitors	58,800	1,915	60,715
Orange Total			137,800	1,915	139,715
Placer	2022-PLA-1	Ten officers/agents as monitors, 1 investigator used for installation.	43,550	715	44,265
Placer Total			43,550	715	44,265
Riverside	2021-RIV-81	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2021-RIV-87	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2021-RIV-88	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2021-RIV-98	2 monitors, 2 investigators	120,000	6,000	126,000
	2021-RIV-99	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2021-RIV-103	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2022

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁷
Riverside (cont'd)	2021-RIV-104	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2021-RIV-109	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2021-RIV-112	1 officer, 2 civilian monitors, 1 technician	84,240	4,000	88,240
	2021-RIV-115	1 officer, 2 civilian monitors, 1 technician	61,360	3,000	64,360
	2021-RIV-116	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2021-RIV-117	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2021-RIV-118	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2021-RIV-119	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2021-RIV-120	1 officer, 2 civilian monitors, 1 technician	63,440	2,000	65,440
	2021-RIV-121	2 monitors, 2 investigator-provisioned lines	24,000	1,200	25,200
	2022-RIV-1	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-RIV-2	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-RIV-3	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-RIV-4	1 agent, 6 monitors.	70,000	4,000	74,000
	2022-RIV-5	1 officer, 2 civilian monitors, 1 technician	5,655	3,000	8,655
	2022-RIV-6	1 officer, 2 civilian monitors, 1 technician	31,200	1,000	32,200
	2022-RIV-7	1 officer, 2 civilian monitors, 1 technician	124,800	4,000	128,800
	2022-RIV-8	4 monitors, 1 technician, 5 DEA agents / TFOs	25,000	4,000	29,000

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2022

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁷
Riverside (cont'd)	2022-RIV-9	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-RIV-10	2 monitors, 2 investigators	40,000	1,200	41,200
	2022-RIV-11	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-RIV-12	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-RIV-13	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-RIV-14	1 officer, 2 civilian monitors, 1 technician	31,200	2,000	33,200
	2022-RIV-15	2 monitors, 1 technician, 5 DEA agents / TFOs	5,000	4,000	9,000
	2022-RIV-16	1 officer, 2 civilian monitors, 1 technician	2,170	3,000	5,170
	2022-RIV-17	1 officer, 2 civilian monitors, 1 technician	31,200	1,000	32,200
	2022-RIV-18	2 monitors, 2 investigators	24,000	1,200	25,200
	2022-RIV-20	2 monitors, 2 investigators	24,000	1,200	25,200
	2022-RIV-21	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-RIV-22	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-RIV-23	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technicians	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-RIV-24	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-RIV-25	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-RIV-26	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-RIV-27	1 officer, 2 civilian monitors, 1 technician	31,200	2,000	33,200

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2022

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁷
Riverside (cont'd)	2022-RIV-28	1 officer, 2 civilian monitors, 1 technician	31,200	2,000	33,200
	2022-RIV-29	1 officer, 2 civilian monitors, 1 technician	31,200	1,000	32,200
	2022-RIV-30	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-RIV-31	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-RIV-32	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-RIV-33	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-RIV-34	2 monitors, 1 technician, 5 DEA agents / TFOs	25,000	4,000	29,000
	2022-RIV-35	1 officer, 2 civilian monitors, 1 technician	20,800	2,000	22,800
	2022-RIV-36	1 officer, 2 civilian monitors, 1 technician	31,200	2,000	33,200
	2022-RIV-37	1 officer, 2 civilian monitors, 1 technician	31,200	2,000	33,200
	2022-RIV-38	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-RIV-39	1 officer, 2 civilian monitors, 1 technician	31,200	2,000	33,200
	2022-RIV-40	1 officer, 2 civilian monitors, 1 technician	58,240	2,000	60,240
	2022-RIV-41	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-RIV-42	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-RIV-43	2 monitors, 1 technician, 5 DEA agents / TFOs, 5-person surveillance team	10,000	4,000	14,000
	2022-RIV-44	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2022

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁷
Riverside (cont'd)	2022-RIV-45	1 officer, 2 civilian monitors, 1 technician	124,800	4,000	128,800
	2022-RIV-46	1 officer, 2 civilian monitors, 1 technician	118,650	4,000	122,650
	2022-RIV-47	4 monitors, 2 technicians, 5 DEA agents / TFOs	25,000	12,000	37,000
	2022-RIV-48	4 monitors, 2 technicians, 5 DEA agents / TFOs	25,000	4,000	29,000
	2022-RIV-49	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-RIV-50	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-RIV-51	1 officer, 2 civilian monitors, 1 technician	30,200	1,000	31,200
	2022-RIV-52	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-RIV-53	2-3 monitors, 1 agent	15,000	500	15,500
	2022-RIV-54	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-RIV-55	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-RIV-56	5 monitors, 1 technician, 1 agent, 1 crime analyst	146,400	5,400	151,800
	2022-RIV-57	1 officer, 2 civilian monitors, 1 technician	30,200	1,000	31,200
	2022-RIV-58	1 officer, 2 civilian monitors, 1 technician	30,200	1,000	31,200
	2022-RIV-59	18 linguists, 2 agents, 3 TFOs, 2 technicians	109,029	5,400	114,429
	2022-RIV-60	6 linguists, 2 agents, 3 TFOs, 2 technicians	21,334	1,800	23,134
	2022-RIV-61	4 monitors, 2 technicians, 5 person DEA agents / TFOs	25,000	12,000	37,000

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2022

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁷
Riverside (cont'd)	2022-RIV-62	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-RIV-63	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-RIV-64	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-RIV-65	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-RIV-66	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-RIV-67	6 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician, 1 crime analyst	390,400	18,000	408,400
	2022-RIV-68	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-RIV-69	2 monitors, 1 agent, 1 technician, 1 crime analyst	37,800	900	38,700
	2022-RIV-70	1 officer, 2 civilian monitors, 1 technician	22,880	2,000	24,880
	2022-RIV-71	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-RIV-72	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-RIV-73	1 officer, 2 civilian monitors, 1 technician	33,280	2,000	35,280
	2022-RIV-74	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-RIV-75	8 monitors, 1 technician, 4 agents, 1 crime analyst	702,000	30,240	732,240
	2022-RIV-76	1 monitor, 1 agent, 1 technician, 1 crime analyst	73,200	2,520	75,720
	2022-RIV-77	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-RIV-78	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2022

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁷
Riverside (cont'd)	2022-RIV-79	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-RIV-80	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-RIV-81	4 monitors, 2 technicians, 5 DEA agents / TFOs	25,000	12,000	37,000
	2022-RIV-82	1 officer, 2 civilian monitors, 1 technician	30,200	1,000	31,200
	2022-RIV-83	1 officer, 2 civilian monitors, 1 technician	31,200	2,000	33,200
	2022-RIV-84	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-RIV-85	1 officer, 2 civilian monitors, 1 technician	22,880	2,000	24,880
	2022-RIV-86	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-RIV-87	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-RIV-88	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-RIV-89	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-RIV-90	1 officer, 2 civilian monitors, 1 technician	31,200	1,000	32,200
	2022-RIV-91	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-RIV-92	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-RIV-93	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-RIV-94	1 officer, 2 civilian monitors, 1 technician	22,880	2,000	24,880
	2022-RIV-95	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-RIV-96	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2022

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁷
Riverside (cont'd)	2022-RIV-97	4 technicians, 4 monitors, 5-person task force	150,000	8,000	158,000
	2022-RIV-98	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-RIV-99	4 monitors, 2 technicians, 5 DEA agents / TFOs	25,000	4,000	29,000
	2022-RIV-100	1 officer, 2 civilian monitors, 1 technician	31,200	1,000	32,200
	2022-RIV-101	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-RIV-102	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-RIV-103	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-RIV-104	12 linguists, 2 agents, 3 TFOs, 2 technicians	37,917	1,800	39,717
	2022-RIV-105	1 officer, 2 civilian monitors, 1 technician	98,800	4,000	102,800
	2022-RIV-106	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-RIV-107	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-RIV-108	1 officer, 2 civilian monitors, 1 technician	31,200	2,000	33,200
	2022-RIV-109	4 monitors, 2 technicians, 5 person team of DEA agents / TFOs	25,000	12,000	37,000
	2022-RIV-110	1 officer, 2 civilian monitors, 1 technician	81,120	3,000	84,120
	2022-RIV-111	4 monitors, 2 technicians, 5 DEA agents / TFOs	5,000	4,000	9,000
	2022-RIV-112	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-RIV-113	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2022

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁷
Riverside (cont'd)	2022-RIV-114	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-RIV-115	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-RIV-116	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-RIV-117	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-RIV-118	4 monitors, 2 technicians, 5 DEA agents / TFOs	25,000	4,000	29,000
	2022-RIV-119	1 officer, 2 civilian monitors, 1 technician	15,600	1,000	16,600
	2022-RIV-120	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-RIV-121	2 monitors, 2 technicians, 5 DEA agents / TFOs	25,000	4,000	29,000
	2022-RIV-122	1 officer, 2 civilian monitors, 1 technician	30,420	4,000	34,420
	2022-RIV-123	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-RIV-124	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-RIV-125	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-RIV-126	9 monitors, 1 technician, 5 DEA agents / TFOs	10,000	4,000	14,000
	2022-RIV-127	3 monitors, 1 technician, 5 DEA agents / TFOs, 5-person surveillance team	10,000	4,000	14,000
	2022-RIV-128	2 monitors, 1 technician, 5 DEA agents / TFOs	5,000	4,000	9,000
	2022-RIV-129	4 monitors, 2 technicians, 5 DEA agents / TFOs	25,000	4,000	29,000

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2022

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁷
Riverside (cont'd)	2022-RIV-130	2 monitors, 1 technician, 5 DEA agents / TFOs, 5-person surveillance team	25,000	4,000	29,000
	2022-RIV-131	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-RIV-132	1 officer, 2 civilian monitors, 1 technician	60,320	4,000	64,320
	2022-RIV-133	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-RIV-134	6 monitors, 2 technicians, 5 DEA agents / TFOs	25,000	4,000	29,000
	2022-RIV-135	1 officer, 2 civilian monitors, 1 technician	31,200	1,000	32,200
	2022-RIV-136	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-RIV-137	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-RIV-138	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-RIV-139	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-RIV-140	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-RIV-141	1 officer, 2 civilian monitors, 1 technician	12,480	1,000	13,480
	2022-RIV-142	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-RIV-143	1 officer, 2 civilian monitors, 1 technician	47,840	4,000	51,840
	2022-RIV-144	1 monitor, 1 technician, 5-person DEA taskforce	90,000	18,000	108,000
	2022-RIV-145	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-RIV-146	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2022

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁷
Riverside (cont'd)	2022-RIV-147	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-RIV-148	1 monitor, 1 technician, 5-person DEA taskforce	30,000	1,800	31,800
	2022-RIV-149	2 officers, 2 civilian monitors, 1 technician	18,000	3,000	21,000
	2022-RIV-150	1 officer, 2 civilian monitors, 1 technician	31,200	1,000	32,200
	2022-RIV-151	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-RIV-152	1 monitor, 1 technician, 5-person DEA taskforce	30,000	18,000	48,000
	2022-RIV-153	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-RIV-154	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-RIV-155	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-RIV-156	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-RIV-157	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-RIV-158	1 monitor, 1 technician, 5-person DEA taskforce	30,000	1,800	31,800
	2022-RIV-160	1 officer, 2 civilian monitors, 1 technician	31,200	2,000	33,200
	2022-RIV-161	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-RIV-162	1 officer, 2 civilian monitors, 1 technician	86,320	4,000	90,320
	2022-RIV-163	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-RIV-164	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2022

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁷
Riverside (cont'd)	2022-RIV-165	1 monitor, 1 technician, 5-person DEA taskforce	30,000	1,800	31,800
	2022-RIV-166	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-RIV-167	2 officers, 2 civilian monitors, 1 technician	10,000	3,000	13,000
	2022-RIV-169	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-RIV-170	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-RIV-171	1 monitor, 1 technician, 5-person DEA taskforce	30,000	18,000	48,000
	2022-RIV-172	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-RIV-173	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-RIV-174	1 officer, 2 civilian monitors, 1 technician	31,200	1,000	32,200
	2022-RIV-175	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-RIV-177	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-RIV-178	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-RIV-179	1 officer, 2 civilian monitors, 1 technician	60,320	4,000	64,320
	2022-RIV-181	2 officers, 2 civilian monitors, 1 technician	6,950	1,500	8,450
	2022-RIV-185	1 officer, 2 civilian monitors, 1 technician	31,200	1,000	32,200
	2022-RIV-186	2 monitors, 2 technicians, 5-person DEA taskforce	30,000	1,800	31,800
	2022-RIV-187	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2022

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁷
Riverside (cont'd)	2022-RIV-188	2 monitors, 2 technicians, 5-person DEA taskforce	120,000	1,800	121,800
	2022-RIV-191	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-RIV-192	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2022-RIV-193	4 monitors, 2 technicians, 5-person DEA taskforce	60,000	1,800	61,800
	2022-RIV-196	2 officers, 2 civilian monitors, 1 technician	7,000	1,500	8,500
Riverside Total			6,714,725	584,160	7,298,885
San Bernardino	2022-SBD-1	6 monitors, 1 analyst, 3 installers	15,000	2,500	17,500
	2022-SBD-2	6 monitors, 1 analyst, 3 installers	15,000	5,000	20,000
	2022-SBD-3	4 monitors/interpreters, 2 agents, 1 technician	0	1,200	1,200
	2022-SBD-4	7 sworn and 1 civilian	15,000	5,000	20,000
	2022-SBD-5	7 monitors, 1 technician, 5 Sworn	15,000	7,000	22,000
	2022-SBD-6	20 monitors, 1 technician, 4 interpreters	18,000	3,000	21,000
San Bernardino Total			78,000	23,700	101,700
San Diego	2022-SD-1	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	106,500	5,330	111,830
	2022-SD-2	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	45,780	580	46,360
	2022-SD-3	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	9,842	330	10,172
	2022-SD-4	1 monitor, 1 agent, 1 technician	7,695	330	8,025
	2022-SD-5	3 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	47,845	330	48,175

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2022

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁷
San Diego Total			217,662	6,900	224,562
San Joaquin	2022-SJ-1	See 2022-SJ-2			
	2022-SJ-2	4 Deputies/agent as monitor, 1 technician	9,975	17,300	27,275
	2022-SJ-3	See 2022-SJ-11			
	2022-SJ-4	See 2022-SJ-11			
	2022-SJ-5	See 2022-SJ-11			
	2022-SJ-6	See 2022-SJ-11			
	2022-SJ-7	See 2022-SJ-11			
	2022-SJ-8	See- 2022-SJ-11			
	2022-SJ-9	See-2022-SJ-11			
	2022-SJ-10	See- 2022-SJ-11			
	2022-SJ-11	7 officers/agents as monitors	151,723	95,760	247,483
San Joaquin Total			161,698	113,060	274,758
San Luis Obispo	2022-SLO-1	23 monitors, 2 transcription clerks	47,682	2,288	49,970
	2022-SLO-2	20 monitors, 1 clerk, 1 interpreter	121,485	11,402	132,887
San Luis Obispo Total			169,167	13,690	182,857
San Mateo	2022-SM-1	3 monitors, 10 agents, 3 case agents (lead investigators), 2 technology officers	96,627	18,944	115,571
	2022-SM-2	See 2022-SM-01			
	2022-SM-3	See 2022-SM-01			
San Mateo Total			96,627	18,944	115,571
Santa Clara	2022-SCL-1	25 officers, 2 agents, 8 linguists/monitors	375,000	12,372	387,372

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2022

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁷
Santa Clara (cont'd)	2022-SCL-2	See 2022-SCL-1			
	2022-SCL-3	See 2022-SCL-1			
Santa Clara Total			375,000	12,372	387,372
Stanislaus	2022-STA-1	2 supervisors, 1 case agent, 7 monitors, 1 analyst	86,000	32,400	118,400
	2022-STA-2	See 2022-STA-03			
	2022-STA-3	4 monitors/interpreters, 1 case agent	113,750	8,315	122,065
Stanislaus Total			199,750	40,715	240,465
Tulare	2022-TUL-1	3 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	60	750	810
	2022-TUL-2	3 monitors, 1 agent, 1 technician	60	750	810
	2022-TUL-3	3 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	60	750	810
	2022-TUL-4	3 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	60	750	810
	2022-TUL-6	Cost not available			
	2022-TUL-7	Cost not available			
	2022-TUL-8	Cost not available			
Tulare Total			240	3,000	3,240
Ventura	2021-VE-10	8 detectives, 1 transcriber	24,400	10,000	34,400
	2022-VE-1	4 monitors, 2 analysts, 2 transcribers	42,737	12,000	54,737
	2022-VE-2	4 monitors, 2 analysts, 2 transcribers	33,000	10,000	43,000
	2022-VE-3	2 monitors	15,500	2,200	17,700
	2022-VE-4	2 monitors	15,500	4,200	19,700

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2022

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁷
Ventura (cont'd)	2022-VE-5	2 monitors	16,000	4,400	20,400
	2022-VE-6	4 monitors, 2 analysts, 2 transcribers	27,786	12,000	39,786
	2022-VE-7	3 monitors	15,500	4,000	19,500
Ventura Total			190,423	58,800	249,223
Yolo	2022-YO-1	4 monitors, 2 case agents, 5 surveillance units	5,000	2,000	7,000
Yolo Total			5,000	2,000	7,000
Grand Total			16,023,883	1,768,978	17,792,861

Table 7
Jurisdictions Reporting No
Electronic Interception Activity During
Calendar Year 2022⁸

Alpine	Amador	Butte
CADOJ	Calaveras	Colusa
Del Norte	El Dorado	Glenn
Humboldt	Imperial	Inyo
Kings	Lake	Lassen
Marin	Mariposa	Mendocino
Merced	Modoc	Mono
Monterey	Napa	Nevada
Plumas	Sacramento	San Benito
San Francisco	Santa Barbara	Santa Cruz
Shasta	Sierra	Siskiyou
Solano	Sonoma	Sutter
Tehama	Trinity	Tuolumne
Yuba		

⁸ Consistent with statutory reporting requirements, the jurisdictions listed in Table 7 reported that they did not apply for a court order authorizing the interception of electronic communications.

Table 8
Summary of Supplemental Reports for Interceptions
Conducted in Prior Years

Reporting Jurisdiction	Report Year	Date of Application	Reference No.	EICOS No.	Cost (\$)	Persons Arrested		Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress			Persons Convicted	
						Number	Offense(s)		Granted	Denied	Pending	Number	Offense(s)
Alameda			17-01 to 17-03	2017-ALA-01, 2017-ALA-02, 2017-ALA-03				1				1	Conspiracy to commit murder
			18-11	2018-ALA-11				1				2	Murder, possession of a firearm with felony conviction
Contra Costa		9/28/2016	CCCD A 16-011	2017-CC-2								3	Murder, gang conspiracy, conspiracy, assault w/ firearm, robbery, burglary
		9/8/2021	CCCD A 21-006	2021-CC-3						1		1	Accessory after the fact
San Diego			21-07, 21-08	2021-SD-4, 2021-SD-5								2	Assault w/ firearm, attempted robbery, conspiracy
			21-06	2021-SD-3		1	Narcotics					1	Narcotics
			21-04	2021-SD-1		11	Narcotics, firearms					8	Narcotics, firearms
San Luis Obispo		3/26/2018	079-625175	2018-SLO-5	\$0.00	1	Murder	1	0	0	0	1	Murder
		8/7/2019	1904-02598	2019-SLO-2	\$0.00	3	Murder, street terrorism	0	0	0	0	3	Murder, street terrorism, voluntary manslaughter
		1/3/2020	9606-10965	2020-SLO-1	\$0.00	2	Murder, accessory after the fact	1	0	1	0	1	Murder
		6/5/2020	079-651570	2020-SLO-2	\$0.00	8	Murder, accessory	0	0	0	0	1	Murder
Stanislaus		1/22/2018	18-01, 1802	2018-STA-1, 2018-STA-2		2	Murder, manslaughter, assault with deadly weapon					1	Murder
		10/18/2018	18-06, 18-07, 18-08	2018-STA-7, 2018-STA-08, 2018-STA-9		6	Attempted murder, firearms, robbery, narcotics					1	Narcotics

Table 8
Summary of Supplemental Reports for Interceptions
Conducted in Prior Years

Reporting Jurisdiction	Report Year	Date of Application	Reference No.	EICOS No.	Cost (\$)	Persons Arrested		Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress			Persons Convicted	
						Number	Offense(s)		Granted	Denied	Pending	Number	Offense(s)
		5/6/2019	19-01, 19-02, 19-03, 19-04, 19-05, 19-06, 19-07	2019-STA-01 through 2019-STA-07		12	Conspiracy to commit murder, gang, firearms, drugs etc.					2	Narcotics, gang, firearms
		6/1/2020	20-01, 20-02	2020-STA-2, 2020-STA-3		22	Narcotics, firearms, gang					8	Gang, narcotics, assault, firearms,

Table 9
List of Electronic Interception Orders
Approved But Never Installed or Not Used
Calendar Year 2022⁹

Approved But Never Installed	Installed But Never Used
2022-LA-83	2022-SCL-2

⁹ There are various reasons why an application for an electronic interception may be approved but never implemented. For example, the user of a targeted device or number may cease using the particular device or number before law enforcement agents can begin intercepting communications.

Table 10
Use of Wiretap Evidence in Administrative-Disciplinary Hearings
No data reported for 2022

Appendix A: Penal Code section 629.62

“Report by Attorney General”

(a) The Attorney General shall prepare and submit an annual report to the Legislature, the Judicial Council, and the Director of the Administrative Office of the United States Courts on interceptions conducted under the authority of this chapter during the preceding year. Information for this report shall be provided to the Attorney General by any prosecutorial agency seeking an order pursuant to this chapter.

(b) The report shall include all of the following data:

- (1) The number of orders or extensions applied for.
- (2) The kinds of orders or extensions applied for.
- (3) The fact that the order or extension was granted as applied for, was modified, or was denied.
- (4) The number of wire or electronic communication devices that are the subject of each order granted.
- (5) The period of interceptions authorized by the order, and the number and duration of any extensions of the order.
- (6) The offense specified in the order or application, or extension of an order.
- (7) The identity of the applying law enforcement officer and agency making the application and the person authorizing the application.
- (8) The nature of the facilities from which or the place where communications were to be intercepted.
- (9) A general description of the interceptions made under the order or extension, including (A) the number of persons whose communications were intercepted, (B) the number of communications intercepted, (C) the percentage of incriminating communications intercepted and the percentage of other communications intercepted, and (D) the approximate nature, amount, and cost of the manpower and other resources used in the interceptions.
- (10) The number of arrests resulting from interceptions made under the order or extension, and the offenses for which arrests were made.
- (11) The number of trials resulting from the interceptions.
- (12) The number of motions to suppress made with respect to the interceptions, and the number granted or denied.
- (13) The number of convictions resulting from the interceptions and the offenses for which the convictions were obtained and a general assessment of the importance of the interceptions.
- (14) Except with regard to the initial report required by this section, the information required by paragraphs (9) to (13), inclusive, with respect to orders or extensions obtained in a preceding calendar year.
- (15) The date of the order for service of inventory made pursuant to Section 629.68, confirmation of compliance with the order, and the number of notices sent.
- (16) Other data that the Legislature, the Judicial Council, or the Director of the Administrative Office of the United States Courts shall require.

(c) The annual report shall be filed no later than April of each year, and shall also include a summary analysis of the data reported pursuant to subdivision (b). The Attorney General may issue regulations prescribing the content and form of the reports required to be filed pursuant to this section by any prosecutorial agency seeking an order to intercept wire or electronic communications.

(d) The Attorney General shall, upon the request of an individual making an application, provide any information known to him or her as a result of these reporting requirements that would enable the individual making an application to comply with paragraph (6) of subdivision (a) of Section 629.50.

Appendix B: Penal Code sections 629.78 & 629.82

Section 629.78:

Any person who has received, by any means authorized by this chapter, any information concerning a wire or electronic communication, or evidence derived therefrom, intercepted in accordance with the provisions of this chapter, may, pursuant to Section 629.82, disclose the contents of that communication or derivative evidence while giving testimony under oath or affirmation in any criminal court proceeding or in any grand jury proceeding, or in an administrative or disciplinary hearing involving the employment of a peace officer.

Section 629.82:

(a) If a peace officer or federal law enforcement officer, while engaged in intercepting wire or electronic communications in the manner authorized by this chapter, intercepts wire or electronic communications relating to crimes other than those specified in the order of authorization, but that are enumerated in subdivision (a) of Section 629.52, grand theft involving a firearm, a violation of Section 18750 or 18755, or a violent felony as defined in subdivision (c) of Section 667.5, (1) the contents thereof, and evidence derived therefrom, may be disclosed or used as provided in Sections 629.74 and 629.76 and (2) the contents and any evidence derived therefrom may be used under Section 629.78 when authorized by a judge if the judge finds, upon subsequent application, that the contents were otherwise intercepted in accordance with the provisions of this chapter. The application shall be made as soon as practicable.

(b) If a peace officer or federal law enforcement officer, while engaged in intercepting wire or electronic communications in the manner authorized by this chapter, intercepts wire or electronic communications relating to crimes other than those specified in subdivision (a), the contents thereof, and evidence derived therefrom, may not be disclosed or used as provided in Sections 629.74 and 629.76, except to prevent the commission of a public offense. The contents and any evidence derived therefrom may not be used under Section 629.78, except where the evidence was obtained through an independent source or inevitably would have been discovered, and the use is authorized by a judge who finds that the contents were intercepted in accordance with this chapter.

(c) The use of the contents of an intercepted wire or electronic communication relating to crimes other than those specified in the order of authorization to obtain a search or arrest warrant entitles the person named in the warrant to notice of the intercepted wire or electronic communication and a copy of the contents thereof that were used to obtain the warrant.

(d) (1) If a peace officer or federal law enforcement officer, while engaged in intercepting wire or electronic communications in the manner authorized by this chapter, intercepts wire or electronic communications relating to crimes, other than those specified in subdivision (a), and involving the employment of a peace officer, the contents thereof, and evidence derived therefrom, may not be disclosed or used as provided in Sections 629.74 and 629.76, except to prevent the commission of a public offense or in an administrative or disciplinary hearing involving the employment of a peace officer. The contents and any evidence derived therefrom may not be used under Section 629.78, except if the evidence was obtained through an independent source or inevitably would have been discovered, and the use is authorized by a judge who finds that the contents were intercepted in accordance with this chapter.

(2) This section does not authorize the use of an intercepted wire or electronic communication involving acts that only involve a violation of a departmental rule or guideline that is not a public offense under California law.

(3) If an agency employing peace officers utilizes evidence obtained pursuant to this subdivision in an administrative or disciplinary proceeding, the agency shall, on an annual basis, report both of the following to the Attorney General:

(A) The number of administrative or disciplinary proceedings involving the employment of a peace officer in which the agency utilized evidence obtained pursuant to this subdivision.

(B) The specific offenses for which evidence obtained pursuant to this subdivision was used in those administrative or disciplinary proceedings.

(4) (A) The Attorney General may issue regulations prescribing the form of the reports required to be filed pursuant to paragraph (3) by an agency utilizing intercepted wire or electronic communications in an administrative or disciplinary proceeding against a peace officer.

(B) The Attorney General shall include information received pursuant to paragraph (3) in its annual report made pursuant to Section 629.62.